Effect of addition cattle feed supplement on in vitro fermentation, synthesis of microbial biomass, and methane production of rice straw fermentation basal diets

by Nilawati

Submission date: 05-May-2023 08:34PM (UTC+0500)

Submission ID: 2085178448

File name: yulis 2021 IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci. 888 012070 2.pdf (721.7K)

Word count: 3350

Character count: 16865

PAPER · OPEN ACCESS

Effect of addition cattle feed supplement on in vitro fermentation, synthesis of microbial biomass, and methane production of rice straw fermentation basal diets



To cite this article: Ramaiyulis et al 2021 IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci. 888 012070

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

- Soil microbial respiration adapts to higher and longer warming experiments at the global scale
 Lu Yang, Junxiao Pan, Jinsong Wang et
- The stoichiometry of soil microbial biomass determines metabolic quotient of nitrogen mineralization Zhaolei Li, Zhaoqi Zeng, Dashuan Tian et
- Dependence of the content of microbial biomass in typical black soil on agrogenic factors and year season A G Kaluzhskikh, A G Belyaev, N V Dolgopolova et al.





doi:10.1088/1755-1315/888/1/012070

Effect of addition cattle feed supplement on in vitro fermentation, synthesis of microbial biomass, and methane production of rice straw fermentation basal diets

Ramaiyulis, E Yulia, D K Sari and Nilawati

Animal Husbandry study program, Agriculture Polytechnic of Payakumbuh, Lima Puluh Kota, Indonesia, 26271, E-mail: ramaiyulis@gmail.com

Abstract. The objective of this study was to evaluate the influence of supplementation of cattle feed supplement (CFS) and concentrate in ruminant diets based on rice straw fermented (R) on in vitro rumen fermentation, microbial biomass synthesis, and enteric methane production. Five experimental diets were evaluated, consist of R = rice straw fermented 100%, RS = R + CFS 10%, RSC1, 2 and 3 = RS + Concentrate levels 10, 20 and 30 (%DM). Supplementation of CFS increased the gas production (P < 0.05) and highest in treatments RSC1 and 2 (44.09 and 44.87 ml/ g substrate, respectively) and was decreased proportions of methane by inhibition rate until 49.80%. Ruminal protozoa population increased by CFS dan concentrate supplementation (P<0,05) and was dominated (>80%) of Entodinium genus. The treatments RS dan RSC1 promoted greater (P < 0.01) microbial biomass synthesis (386.32 and 312.39 mg/ g substrate, respectively). In conclusion, the supplementation of CFS and concentrate in ruminant diets based on rice straw fermented can promote a greater synthesis of microbial biomass and mitigation of methane production.

Keywords: Feed supplement, methane, microbial protein, microbial biomass

1. Introduction

Processing agricultural waste into quality animal feed has supported the development of beef cattle farming in Indonesia. Beef cattle fattening, known as the "Kreman" system [1], is a feedlot fattening with fermented rice straw as the main feed with high concentrate supplementation of up to 40%. Fermentation is one way of biologically processing rice straw to improve nutrition and digestibility in ruminants [2]. Concentrate supplementation provides an adequate supply of nutrients to achieve optimal livestock production [3].

Feed nutrient supply is expected to be used efficiently in the metabolism of ruminants. For example, condensed tannins were reported to increase feed efficiency in increasing rumen fermentation rate, microbial biomass production, and mitigating methane production in rice straw basal diets [4]. One of the potential sources of condensed tannins in West Sumatra, Indonesia, is the gambier plant (*Uncaria gambier* RoxB). Cattle feed supplements containing gambier leaf residue formulated with feed ingredients containing high soluble carbohydrates, nitrogen (CP=23%), and minerals were reported to optimize rumen microgal growth [5].

Efforts to increase fermented rice straw as a source of forage for beef cattle need to be supported by developing feed supplements and feed concentrate on producing optimal feed efficiency. Therefore, this study aims to obtain the composition of fermented straw, animal feed supplements, and concentrates that can increase rumen fermentation, microbial biomass synthesis, and mitigate methane production.

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.

Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd

IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science 888 (2021) 012070

doi:10.1088/1755-1315/888/1/012070

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Treatment diets

Fermented rice straw is made from rice straw (*Oryza sativa*, variety IR64) taken from the leftover rice harvest chopped with a chopper machine to cut and bruise the straw. Then added bran 5%, urea 1% (fresh basis), and sprinkled with *Rhizopus spp* yeast flour. Fermentation was carried out in an airtight plastic sack for two weeks at room temperature.

Cattle feed supplement (CFS) are made from a mixture of brown sugar 15% dissolved in 1 liter of water and add to a mix of bran 27%, coconut cake 12%, soybean meal 15%, tapioca 15%, urea 5%, salt 5%, minerals 3% and gambier (*Uncaria gambir* RoxB) leaves 5%. In contrast, the concentrate consists of a mixture of sago pith 30%, bran 30%, cassava 20%, and coconut pulp 20%. The treatment diets is shown in Table 1, consisting of R: fermented rice straw 100% (control), RS: R + CFS 10%, RSC1, 2 and 3: RS + Concentrate 10%, 20% and 30% respectively.

Table 1. Ingredients and chemical composition of treatment diets.

Items		Tr	eatment D	- R	CFS	С		
itellis	R	RS	RSC1	RSC2	RSC3	K	CFS	C
Ingredients (%DM)								
Rice straw fermented (R)	100	90	80	70	60			
CFS	-	10	10	10	10			
Concentrates (C)	-	-	10	20	30			
Chemical composition (%L	OM)							
Organic matters						87.06	88.26	94.12
Crude protein						9.82	23.31	11.64
BETN						43.53	52.36	69.21
NDF						70.35	27.16	36.56
Lignins						8.99	0.82	0.96
Tannins						-	1.17	-

CFS = cattle feed supplement, C = Concentrates, R = Rice straw fermented, DM = dry matter.

In vitro fermentation study

In vitro gas production test (IV($\frac{1}{12}$ T) follows the method [6]. Exactly 1 g of air-dried sample (1.0 mm size) according to the treatment was put into a 100 ml serum bottle, then added 100 ml of a mixture of artificial saliva and rumen fluid (4: 1) and incubated 24 hours at 39 °C. The fermentation gas is collected in a plastic bag connected to the bottle cap and measured with 100 ml glass syringes (Fortuna, Haberle, Germany) at the end of incubation. 100 μ l of collected gas used as sampled injected for methane estimation with gas chromatography (Nuccessfels).

The bottle contents were removed and centrifuged at 1,500 rpm for 3 minutes, and the filtrate was used to analyze VFA, ammonia-N, and TCA soluble N [7]. Rumen content was also prepared following the procedure [8] for counting the population and genus of protoz₁₅ using the Neubauer chamber at 400x microscope magnification. The residue is washed with 100 ml of ne₆ ral detergent solutions, refluxed for one h, and filtered through Whatman 41 is called NDF residue. Truly degradable organic matter in the rumen (TDOMR) = initial OM substrate-NDF raidue. Partitioning factor (PF) = TDOMR (mg) / gas productia (ml). Microbial biomass production (MBP) (mg) = TDOMR (mg) - (2.2 * gas production), where 2.2 is the stoichiometric factor. The efficiency of microbial biomass production (EMP) = MBP / 100 mg TDOMR.

2.3. Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis of all data generates used The Statistical package for the social sciences (SPSS, Chicago, USA) by one-way ANOVA. The effects were considered significant at P < 0.05 and continued with Duncan's test to determine the mean difference between treatments.



3. Results and discussion

In Table 2, the results of the measurement of in vitragas production variables are presented. In vitro rumen dry matter (DMD) degradability and TDOMR of fermented rice straw increased with the addition of CFS and concentrate (P < 0.01) and the highest was found in RS feed followed by RSC1-3. The fermented straw diets (R) showed the lowest degradability of dry matter (DMD) and organic matter (TDOMR) due to the high lignin content (8.99%) in fermented straw, which binds cellulose so that it is not available for degradation by rumen microbes [9]. The addition of 10% CFS increased the degradability of fermented rice straw. That is due to an increase in microbial biomass (MBP) 93% from control which plays a role in producing cellulagenzymes to break down cellulose into VFA. The content of tannins in CFS did not appear to harm the digestibility of dry matter and organic matter. This result is different from the report of other researchers [10], who reported that tannins bound to organic compounds in feed ingredients decreased the digestibility of DMD and OMD in the rumen.

Microbial biomass production (MBP) was found to be lowest in control (R diets) and increased 93% with the addition of CFS (RS diets). CFS and concentrate combined in the RSC1-3 diets resulted in lower MBP than CFS alone in the RS diets. The diets indicate that microbial biomass production in fermented straw diets needs supplementation to produce optimally. The rumen environment and substrate availability influence the growth of microbial biomass in the rumen. CFS contains high soluble carbohydrates (BETN =52.36%) plus nitrogen from urea (NPN) (CP=23.31%) plus macro and micro minerals, essential nutrients for rumen microbial growth [11]. Microbial biomass production in this study is in line with research results [5] which reported optimal microbial biomass production of 111-285 mg with supplements. In contrast, without supplements, Bretschneider researchers reported low microbial biomass production between 170-191 mg in maize silage diets [12].

Microbial production efficiency (EMP) increased significantly (P = 0.031) after the addition of CFS and concentrate, but there was no state in the combination of CFS and concentrate. This efficiency states the amount of organic matter digested in the rumen, converted into microbial biomass. This efficiency value is higher than the report [13], 27.9 mg/g BOT in a mixed strawconcentrate diet. In addition, CFS contains condensed tannins 1.17% DM, where tannins can inhibit methane production 49.80% in this study and is in line with the statement [14] that tannins can increase the efficiency of energy use and microbial biomass synthesis.

Table 2. Effect of Supplementation on in vitro rumen degradation, microbial production, methane inhibition, and fermentation metabolites.

Parameters		Tı	reatment die	ets		SEM	P-value
Tarameters	R	RS	RSC1	RSC2	RSC3	SLIVI	1 - value
DMD, %	24.20e	42.89a	39.06 ^b	33.94°	29.19 ^d	1.06	0.001
TDOMR, mg/g	280.36^{c}	485.03a	409.38b	394.05 ^b	315.95°	13.30	0.002
substrate							
TDOMR, %	28.04^{c}	48.50^{a}	40.94^{b}	39.40^{b}	31.59°	1.33	0.002
MBP, mg	199.75 ^d	386.32a	312.39bc	321.27 ^b	262.60°	16.35	0.004
EMP	67.34^{b}	79.64a	76.06^{a}	81.49a	80.78^{a}	2.26	0.031
PF	8.74	14.30	10.96	12.33	15.10	1.36	0.057
Gas production (per g si	ıbstrate)						
Total gas, ml	24.25°	36.64^{b}	44.09^{a}	44.87a	33.08^{b}	3.60	0.037
Methane, ml	4.10^{b}	3.11 ^b	4.74^{a}	4.52ab	5.48a	0.39	0.030
% methane	16.94 ^a	8.49^{b}	10.76^{b}	10.08^{b}	16.57a	1.16	0.006
% inhibition	0.00^{d}	49.80^{a}	36.41^{b}	40.42^{b}	24.02°	3.17	0.029
Fermentation metabolite	es						
pН	6.99	6.98	6.92	6.98	6.99	0.01	0.181
Total VFA, mM	146	141	144	110	135	5.47	0.280

IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science 888 (2021) 012070

doi:10.1088/1755-1315/888/1/012070

Ammonia-N, mg/dL	8.87^{c}	21.44a	11.99^{b}	12.22^{b}	10.43 ^b	2.24	0.042
Total N, g/dL	122.50b	170.63a	203.44a	196.88a	157.50ab	13.72	0.036
TCA-Soluble N	60.74c	114.30ab	155.53a	130.91a	92.77^{b}	13.34	0.028
Non-protein N	61.76	56.32	47.91	65.96	64.73	3.56	0.054

R = Ferrented rice straw 100%, RS = R+10% CFS, RSC1, 2 and 3 = RS+Concentrate levels 10, 20 and 30%. DMD = in vitro dry matter degradability. TDOMR = truly degradable organic matter in the rumen.

MBP = microbial biomass production. EMP = efficiency of microbial production. PF = Partitioning factor.

abc different superscripts of means in a row differ significantly (P<0,05)

The lowest in vitro fermentation total gas production was found control (R diets) and the highest in the RSC1 and RSC2 diets. Total gas production shows the level of feed fermentation by microbes in the rumen. The rice straw is difficult to ferment, producing lower total gas production than mixed straw, CFS, and concentrate diets. The total gas composition consists of Oxygen 0.5%, Hydrogen 0.2%, Nitrogen 7.0%, methane 26.8% and CO2 64.4% [15].

In this study, the highest methane composition of the total gas was 16.94% in control (R diets), and the lowest in the RS diets was 8.49% (P<0.01). The highest methane production inhibition of 49.80% was found in RS diets with CFS addition. The condensed tannin content in CFS has affected the work of rumen microbes, thereby reducing methane formation. The same thing was reported [16] that condensed tannins (catechins and sinapic acid) reduced methane production without changing the total production gas.

The mechanism of reducing methane gas ball annins occurs due to the inhibition of fiber digestion which reduces the production of Hydrogen and inhibition of growth and activity of methanogens bacteria [17]. Therefore, reducing the proportion of methane in the total gas is an advantage of CFS, considering that methane emissions represent the loss of energy intake (5-15% of the total) generated during the rumen fermentation process [4]. Furthermore, methane production is closely related to the acetate/propionate balance. Therefore, the decrease methane production is in line with the increase in propionate formation in regreen fermentation [18].

CFS and concentrate supplementation had no significant effect (P>0.05) on rumen pH and VFA production. The highest Ammonia-N was found in the RS diets, followed by RSC1-3 and the lowest in control (R diets). The highest TCA soluble N was found in the RSC1-3 diets, and the lowest was in the R diet. TCA soluble N indicates the amount of protein or peptides and amino acids from diets and microbial protein. Although the diet contains high grains and is easy to fellower, it does not lower the rumen pH. Rumen pH needs to be maintained because the activity of cellulolytic bacteria will be inhibited if the rumen pH is below 6.0 [19]. The concentration of VFA in the rumen is closely related to the degradation of non-nitrogen organic matter as the end product of carbohydrate fermentation (cellulose, pectin, and xylan) by rumen microbes, bacteria, and Archae [20]. Therefore, the VFA obtained was optimal to support rumen microbial growth, namely 80-160 mM [11]. VFA balance: ammonia N is required by rumen microbes in synthesizing microbial proteins [21].

Table 3. Effect of supplementation on in vitro rumen protozoa population and genus composition.

Parameters		Tre	SEM	P-value			
rarameters	R	RS	RSC1	RSC2	RSC3	SEIVI	r-varue
Protozoa, x10 ⁵	2.79°	4.68^{b}	7.86a	7.27a	7.17 ^a	0.30	0.001
Genus, % of total							
Entodinium	82.3	88.8	89.6	87.9	89.3	0.71	0.167
Diplodinium	11.3	5.9	5.3	5.6	4.6	0.52	0.171
Ophryoscolex	2.5	1.1	0.7	1.3	0.9	0.22	0.126
Isotricha	1.3	1.2	0.8	1.6	1.4	0.18	0.143
Dasytricha	2.6	3	3.6	3.6	3.8	0.30	0.238

R = Fermented rice straw 100%, RS = R+10% CFS, RSC1, 2 and 3 = RS+Concentrate levels 10, 20 and 30%.

doi:10.1088/1755-1315/888/1/012070

The effect of the treatment diets on the composition and genus of rumen protozoa is shown in Table 3. The lowest protozoa population was found in control (R diets), while the highest population was found in the diet with the addition of concentrate in the RSC1-3 diets. The composition of the protozoan genus was not affected (P>0.05) by the treatment diets, but the composition was dominated (>80%) by the Entodinium genus. The protozoa population increased 68% of the control by addition of CFS and increased 68% after the addition of the concentrate. The protozoa population increased because CFS and concentrated contained high soluble (non-structural carbohydrates) with a BETN of 69.21%. Rumen protozoa are more effective in using non-structural carbohydrates by consuming three times faster than bacteria (0.14 vs. 0.04 mol/g protein/min), using them for growth, and storing them as carbohydrate reserves [22]. Other investigators also reported that the population and flow of protozoan cells into the duodenum increased by 25% when animals were fed a diet rich in soluble carbohydrates and decreased when fed a diet rich in cellulose material [23]. The content of condensed tannins in CFS did not harm protozoa, in contrast to other researchers who reported decreased protozoa population due to tannins [24].

4. Conclusion



Supplementation of CFS and concentrate in rice straw fermented basal diets can increase in vitro rumen fermentation, recrobial biomass synthesis, and methane production mitigation. The optimal diet composition is 80:10:10% DM of rice straw fermented, cattle feed supplement, and concentrate.

- [1] Anggraeni AS, Istiqomah L, Damayanti E. 2019. Trop.J.Trop.Anim.Prod. 20 100-110.
- [2] Huyen NT, Tuan BQ, Nghie NX, Bich NT, Tuyet NT. 2019. Asian J. Anim. Sci. 13 1-7.
- [3] Ramaiyulis, Yulia E, Fati N, Salvia, Nilawati. 2020. Sch.J.Agric. Vet. Sci. 07 35-40.
- [4] Polyorach S, Wanapat M, Cherdthong A, Kang S. 2016. Trop. Anim. Health Prod. 48 593-601.
- [5] Ramaiyulis, Ningrat RWS, Zain M, Warly L. 2019. Pakistan J.Nutr. 18 12-19.
- [6] Menke KH, Steingass H. 1988. Anim. Res. Dev. 28 7-55.
- [7] Zaklouta M, Hilali ME, Nefzaoui A, Haylani M. 2011. Animal Nutrition and Product Quality Laboratory Manual. 92p.
- [8] Ogimoto K, Imai S. 1981. Atlas of Rumen Microbiology 141p.
- [9] Agbagla-Dohnani A, Cornu A, Broudiscou LP. 2012. Animal 6 1642–1647.
- [10] Canadianti M, Yusiati LM, Hanim C, Widyobroto CBP, Astuti A. 2020. Bul. Peternak. 44 10-
- [11] Cammack KM, Austin KJ, Lamberson WR, Conant GC. 2018. J.Anim.Sci. 96 752-770.
- [12] Bretschneider G, Peralta M, Santini FJ, Fay JP, Faverin C. 2007. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 136
- [13] Zhao J, Dong Z, Li J, Chen L, Bai Y, Jia Y, Shao T. 2019. *Ital. J. Anim. Sci.* 18 1345–1355.
- [14] Anantasook N, Wanapat M, Cherdthong A. 2014. J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr. 98 50-55.
- [15] Patra AK, Yu Z. 2013. J. Dairy Sci. 96 1782–1792.
- [16] Wischer G, Boguhn J, Steingas H, Schollenberger M, Rodehut M. 2013. Animal 7 1796–1805.
- [17] Tavendale MH, Meagher LP, Pacheco D, Walker N, Sivakumaran S. 2005. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 123-124 403-419.
- [18] Guyader J, Eugène M, Nozière P, Morgavi DP, Doreau M, Martin C. 2014. Animal. 8 1816-1825.
- [19] Krehbiel CR. 2014. Prof. Anim. Sci. 30 129-139.
- [20] Seshadri R, Leahy S, Attwood G, Teh KH, Lambie SC. 2018. Nat. Biotechnol. 36 359–367.
- [21] Jin D, Zhao SG, Zheng N, Bu DP, Beckers Y, Wang JQ. 2018. Livest. Sci. 210 104–110.
- [22] Teixeira CRV, Lana RP, Tao J, Hackmann TJ. 2017. Microbiol. Ecol. 93 1-13.
- [23] Yánñez-Ruiz DR, Scollan ND, Merry RJ, Newbold CJ. 2006. Br. J. Nutr. 96 861–869.
- [24] Jolazadeh AR, Dehghan-banadaky M, Rezayazdi K. 2015. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 203 33-40.

2nd	International	Conference on	Animal	Production	for F	ood Su	stainability	2021

IOP Publishing

IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science 888 (2021) 012070

doi:10.1088/1755-1315/888/1/012070

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge Politeknik Pertanian Negeri Payakumbuh for facilitating funding from DIPA 2021 and nutrition and feed technology laboratory facilities.

6. Effect of addition cattle feed supplement on in vitro fermentation, synthesis of microbial biomass, and methane production of rice straw fermentation basal diets

ORIGIN	ALITY REPORT				
2 SIMIL	0% ARITY INDEX	18% INTERNET SOURCES	14% PUBLICATIONS	% STUDENT P	APERS
PRIMAF	RY SOURCES				
1	saspubl Internet Sour	ishers.com ce			3%
2	kelauta Internet Sour	n.teknik.untan.a	c.id		3%
3	Priyada Microbi Change	Auhammad, F D shini. "Soil Chem al Biomass Resp ", IOP Conferenc mental Science,	nical Propertie ond during La ce Series: Eartl	nd Use	2%
4	cyberlei Internet Sour	ninka.org			2%
5	confere Internet Sour	nce.faterna.una	nd.ac.id		2%
6	krishiko Internet Sour	sh.egranth.ac.in			1 %
7	reposito	ory.unisma.ac.id			1%

PATTANAIK. "Effect of moringa foliage supplementation on in vitro ruminal gas production kinetics and substrate degradation in cattle", The Indian Journal of Animal Sciences, 2022 Publication doaj.org 1% Internet Source eudl.eu 10 Internet Source link.springer.com <1% Internet Source www.publish.csiro.au 12 Internet Source Nurettİn Gülşen, Huzur Derya Umucalilar, 13 Fatma İnal, ArmaĞan Hayirli. " Impacts of calcium addition and different oil types and levels on rumen fermentation and digestibility ", Archives of Animal Nutrition, 2006 Publication Anuraga Jayanegara, Briliannanda Novandri, <1% Nover Yantina, Muhammad Ridla. "Use of black soldier fly larvae (Hermetia illucens) to substitute soybean meal in ruminant diet: An

NARAYAN DUTTA, S D WANKHEDE, M B

TAMBE, N KAUR, P SINGH, S E JADHAV, A. K.

8

1 %

in vitro rumen fermentation study", Veterinary World, 2017

Publication

15	K. Pal, A.K. Patra, A. Sahoo, G.P. Mandal. "Effect of nitrate and fumarate in Prosopis cineraria and Ailanthus excelsa leaves-based diets on methane production and rumen fermentation", Small Ruminant Research, 2014 Publication	<1%
16	eprints.untirta.ac.id Internet Source	<1%
17	repositorio.unal.edu.co Internet Source	<1%
18	Climate Change Impact on Livestock Adaptation and Mitigation, 2015. Publication	<1%
19	Liwen He, Wei Zhou, Yaqi Xing, Ruiqi Pian, Xiaoyang Chen, Qing Zhang. "Improving the quality of rice straw silage with Moringa oleifera leaves and propionic acid: Fermentation, nutrition, aerobic stability and microbial communities", Bioresource Technology, 2020 Publication	<1%

20

M. Matra, P. Totakul, M. Wanapat. "Utilization of dragon fruit waste by-products and non-

<1%

protein nitrogen source: effects on in vitro rumen fermentation, nutrients degradability and methane production", Livestock Science, 2020

Publication

21	Santoso, B "Effects of supplementing galacto-oligosaccharides, Yucca schidigera or nisin on rumen methanogenesis, nitrogen and energy metabolism in sheep", Livestock Production Science, 20041230	<1%
22	docplayer.net Internet Source	<1%
23	poultryline.com Internet Source	<1%
24	repository.pertanian.go.id Internet Source	<1%
25	sydney.edu.au Internet Source	<1%
26	tel.archives-ouvertes.fr Internet Source	<1%
27	Ashok Kumar Pattanaik, Santosh Laxmanrao Ingale, Shalini Baliyan, Narayan Dutta, Devki Nandan Kamra, Kusumakar Sharma. "Herbal additives influence in vitro fermentative attributes and methanogenesis differently in	<1%

cattle and buffalo", Animal Production Science, 2018

Publication

Exclude quotes On Exclude matches Off

Exclude bibliography On

6. Effect of addition cattle feed supplement on in vitro fermentation, synthesis of microbial biomass, and methane production of rice straw fermentation basal diets

GRADEMARK REPORT	
FINAL GRADE	GENERAL COMMENTS
/0	Instructor
7 0	
PAGE 1	
PAGE 2	
PAGE 3	
PAGE 4	
PAGE 5	
PAGE 6	
PAGE 7	