

Improving Rumen Fermentability and Fiber Fraction Digestion of Fermented Rice Straw with A Cattle Feed Supplement and A Concentrate

by Nilawati

Submission date: 05-May-2023 08:34PM (UTC+0500)

Submission ID: 2085178397

File name: 2_ImprovingRumen.._2.pdf (369.66K)

Word count: 4514

Character count: 23324

4

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/351710742>

1

Improving Rumen Fermentability and Fiber Fraction Digestion of Fermented Rice Straw with A Cattle Feed Supplement and A Concentrate

Article · February 2020

DOI: 10.36347/jqars.2020.v07i02.003

CITATION

1

READS

123

2 authors, including:



Ramai Yulis

Agricultural Polytechnic of Payakumbuh

12 PUBLICATIONS 13 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Tannin in Feed supplement for ruminant [View project](#)



Livestock product teknologi [View project](#)

Improving Rumen Fermentability and Fiber Fraction Digestion of Fermented Rice Straw with A Cattle Feed Supplement and A Concentrate

Ramaiyulis^{*}, Eva Yulia, Nelzi Fati, Salvia, Nilawati

Animal husbandry study program, Agriculture Polytechnic Payakumbuh, 26271, Indonesia

DOI: [10.36347/sjavs.2020.v07i02.003](https://doi.org/10.36347/sjavs.2020.v07i02.003)

Received: 08.02.2020 | Accepted: 15.02.2020 | Published: 18.02.2020

*Corresponding author: Ramaiyulis

Abstract

Original Research Article

This study aimed to obtain the best ration with the use of fermented rice straw (RF) as a basal feed for cattle farms. The RF was made by fermenting rice straw using *Rhizopus oligosporus*. The concentrate consisted of many locally available feed ingredients. The supplement was composed of several feeds as a multinutrient to supplement any deficiencies in the ration. There were 4 treatment rations: RF = 100% RF (control), RFS = RF + 10% supplement, RFSC = RFS + 10% concentrate, and RFSC2 = RFS + 20% concentrate. The rations were tested by in vitro digestion using bovine rumen fluid and 48 hours of incubation at 39 °C under anaerobic conditions. The results showed that the addition of the supplement significantly increased the digestibility of the dry matter, organic matter, crude protein, and hemicellulose, while the addition of the concentrate significantly increased the concentration of VFAs and the digestibility of NDF and cellulose. The best composition was an 80:10:10 (% DM) mixture of RF, supplement and concentrate.

Keywords: fermented rice straw, supplement, concentrate, cattle ration, digestibility.

Copyright © 2020: This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution license which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use (NonCommercial, or CC-BY-NC) provided the original author and source are credited.

INTRODUCTION

The Indonesian government targets beef self-sufficiency in 2020 by stimulating domestic beef production which currently only reaches 68% [1]. Development of beef production through small-scale community farms is limited by the availability of grasslands and pasture fields for supplying forage continuously. Extensively raising of cattle in the districts of Pesisir Selatan and Sijunjung, fulfilling forages for cattle by releasing cattle roaming the highways and house yards which disturb farmers' horticultural gardens and leading to the pollution of the environment [2]. Therefore, it is necessary to develop alternative forage feed sources from local resources.

Optimization of the use of rice straw agricultural waste has several factors limiting its use as forage. Rice straw mostly (> 60%) consists of cell walls composed of cellulose, hemicellulose, lignin, and silica [3]. The limiting factor of rice straw use as forage is its low nutritional value and digestibility; thus, it is unable to provide adequate nutrition for high-producing ruminants [4]. Rice straw contains high silica (12-16%) and lignin (6-7%) contents, which inhibit rumen microbial degradation during the digestive process [5]. Rice straw must undergo pretreatment before it is

turned into animal feed. Pretreatment of rice straw with fungi is a practical, low cost and environmentally friendly way to increase the nutritional value and straw digestibility [6]. Processing straw by fermentation can increase the nutritional value and digestibility of rice straw as a forage feed [7].

Rice straw can be used as a substitute forage feed, although it cannot be used as a complete ration, and its use must be supplemented with a concentrate [8]. Feed originating from local sources such as sago pith, bran, cassava, and coconut pulp, as a concentrate of inexpensive, valuable energy sources. The addition of concentrate to fermented rice straw is expected to provide a readily available carbohydrate source that can be used for microbial growth and digestive activity in the rumen [9].

The addition of concentrate with the cattle feed supplement reported by [10] can interact positively to increase the digestibility of low-quality forage. The cattle feed supplement is reported to be able to optimize the rate of microbial biomass production in the rumen [11] so that more microbes will participate in the digestion process. The effect of adding a concentrate and a supplement to a ration of fermented rice straw

was hypothesized to improve the digestibility of fermented rice straw, but this needs to be investigated because there is currently little information available about this interaction.

This study aimed to determine the ability of the cattle feed supplement and concentrate addition to improve the digestibility of fermented rice straw in the rumen in vitro. The results of this study can be used as a basis for in vivo research to optimize the use of fermented rice straw as forage for beef cattle.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Rations preparation

Rice straw is a byproduct of rice (*Oryza sativa*) harvesting and, in this study, was of the Cisokan variety cultivated in Nagari Batu Balang, Harau subdistrict, Lima Puluh Kota district. Mature rice plants were cut ± 30 cm in depth from the clumps and then threshed to remove their grains. The rice straw was chopped with a chopper machine to a size of 1-2 cm. Then, it was mixed with 10% bran (dry basis) and inoculated with the fungus *Rhizopus oligosporus* using tempeh juice and incubated for 21 days under anaerobic condition. The nutrient content of fermented rice straw is shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Composition and nutrient content of the treatment ration

Items	Treatment Rations				RF	Supplement	Concentrate
	RF	RFS	RFSC	RFSC2			
Ingredients (% DM)							
Fermented rice straw	100	90	80	70	-	-	-
*Supplements	-	10	10	10	-	-	-
**Concentrates	-	-	10	20	-	-	-
Chemical composition (% DM)							
Organic matters	87.06	87.18	87.89	88.59	87.06	88.26	94.12
Crude protein	9.82	11.17	11.19	11.21	9.82	23.31	11.64
Crude fiber	30.69	28.65	26.67	24.70	30.69	10.31	10.19
NDF	70.35	66.03	62.65	59.27	70.35	27.16	36.56
ADF	45.33	42.15	39.83	37.51	45.33	13.56	22.10
Cellulose	28.43	26.53	25.65	24.77	28.43	9.44	19.64
Hemicellulose	25.02	23.88	22.82	21.77	25.02	13.60	14.46
Lignins	8.99	8.09	7.19	6.29	8.99	0.82	0.96
Tannins	-	0.05	0.05	0.05	-	-	-

*Supplements: cattle feed supplement with 5% addition of gambier leaf residue (Ramaiyulis [11]), consist of brown sugar (15%), bran (27%), coconut meal (12%), soybean meal (15%), tapioca (15%), urea (5%), salt (3%), mineral (3%), and gambier leaf residue (5%).

**Concentrates: sago pith (30%), bran (30%), cassava (20%), and coconut pulp (20%).

DM: dry matter; NDF: neutral detergent fiber; ADF: acid detergent fiber.

RF: fermented rice straw; RFS: RF + 10% supplements; RFSC: RFS + 10% concentrate; RFSC2: RFS + 20% concentrate.

The supplement was provided according to cattle feed supplement with a 5% addition of gambier leaf residue [11], consist of brown sugar (15%), bran (27%), coconut meal (12%), soybean meal (15%), tapioca (15%), urea (5%), salt (3%), mineral (3%), and gambier leaf residue (5%). The supplements were produced by heating brown sugar at a temperature of 90 °C until the sugar melted; then, it was poured into a mixture of ingredients according to the composition in the formula. Dough was molded into pellets that were 5 mm in diameter with a pellet machine and dried in an oven at 60 °C.

The concentrate consist of local feed ingredients, namely, sago pith (30%), bran (30%), cassava (20%), and coconut pulp (20%), is shown in Table 1. The fermented rice straw, cattle feed supplement, and concentrate were utilized in the combinations shown in Table 1 and were evaluated in 4 replications.

In Vitro experiment

An in vitro experiment was carried out following the method of [12] using the rumen fluid of Bali beef cattle (*Bos sondaicus*) obtained after animals were slaughtered in abattoirs. Rumen liquid was mixed with McDougall buffer solution consisting of 19.6 g NaHCO₃, 7.4 g Na₂HPO₄·7H₂O, 1.14 g KCl, 0.94 g NaCl, 0.84 g MgSO₄·7H₂O and 0.08 g CaCl₂·2H₂O per liter of distilled water at a ratio of 1:4 and a pH of 6.8. The allocation of the in vitro treatments followed a randomized complete block design with individual rumen fluid donors as blocks.

A feed sample of 2.5 grams was put into an Erlenmeyer flask, 250 ml of a mixture of rumen fluid and McDougall buffer was added, and 2 Erlenmeyer flasks were made for each treatment unit. Then, the mixture was purged for 30 seconds with CO₂ gas to create an anaerobic environment in the Erlenmeyers, which were then covered with a ventilated rubber cap. The Erlenmeyers were placed in a water-bath shaker

(Precision, USA) and were incubated at 39 °C for 48 hours. Fermentation was stopped by immersing the Erlenmeyers in cold water.

Parameter measurements

After completing incubation, the pH of the rumen fluid was measured using a pH meter (Hi9807-phep). Next, samples were centrifuged (Hitachi CR21, Japan) at 3,000 rpm for 15 minutes at 4 °C. The supernatant was used for NH₃ analysis by the Conway microdiffusion method and volatile fatty acids (VFA) analysis by the steam distillation method [13]. The residue was washed twice with distilled water, centrifuged again and then filtered using Whatman 41 filter papers before being dried in a 60 °C oven for 24 hours. The proximate analysis [13] was performed to determine the content of dry matter, organic matter, crude protein and crude fiber in the residue.

Fiber fraction analysis was performed following the method of [14] to determine the contents of the neutral detergent fiber (NDF), acid detergent fiber (ADF), acid detergent lignin (ADL), hemicellulose (NDF-ADF) and cellulose (ADF-ADL). NDF was determined by boiling 0.5 g of sample with 100 ml of a neutral detergent solution (NDS) for 1 hour. The NDS consisted of disodium ethylene diaminetetra acetate, sodium lauryl sulfate, sodium tetraborate, monoglycoether, sodium dihydrogenphosphate, and distilled water. Then, the sample was filtered in a glass crucible (coarse porosity 1), dried in a 105 °C oven and weighed. The ADF was determined in the same way but using an acid detergent solution (ADS), which consisted of cetyl trimethylammonium bromide, sulfuric acid, and distilled water. An addition of 72% H₂SO₄ was used to separate ADL.

Statistical analysis

Measured parameters were analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS, version 13.0, SPSS Inc., and Chicago, IL). Single-factor analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to analyze data following a statistical model:

$$Y_{ij} = \mu + \tau_i + \beta_j + \epsilon_{ij}$$

where Y_{ij} is the observed value, μ is the overall mean, τ_i is the effect of the different treatments, β_j is the effect of the different blocks, and ε_{ij} is the residual random error. If a significant effect was expressed at the probability level of P <0.05, it was followed by Duncan's new multiple range test to determine the average value that was significantly different at P <0.05.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Rumen fermentability

The in vitro rumen fermentability of fermented rice straw (RF) with the addition of a supplement and concentrate is shown in Table 2. The addition of the supplement to the RF did not significantly influence the VFA concentrations, but the addition of 10% the concentrate increased the VFA concentrations (P <0.05). The VFA concentration of rumen fluid is closely related to the rate of rumen fermentation, especially the degradation of carbohydrates that produce carbon chains and protein degradation, which liberates carbon chains that are reflected in the VFA concentrations in rumen fluid [15]. The addition of concentrate consisting of raw materials of sago pith, bran, and cassava supplied soluble carbohydrates, thereby increasing the degradation of carbohydrates and increasing concentration of VFAs in the rumen. VFAs are the most important source of metabolic energy in ruminants and supply 55-60% of the energy needed. In addition, VFAs are needed by rumen microbes as a source of carbon chains for microbial protein synthesis [17].

The RFS ration produced the highest NH₃ concentration (P <0.01) compared to that of all other rations. NH₃ is the end product of crude protein degradation and NH₃ deamination in the rumen. The condensed tannin content in supplements acts as protein protection from rumen microbial degradation [18]. Tannin is a polyphenol compound that is capable of binding and precipitating proteins, thus protecting them from rumen microbial degradation, which causes a decrease in NH₃ in the rumen [19]. Therefore, the production of NH₃ in this study came from the breakdown of urea contained in supplements to NH₃.

Table-2: Concentrations of VFA, NH₃, and pH in the rumen in vitro of fermented rice straw with the addition of supplements and concentrates

Rumen Parameters	Treatment Ration				SE	P-value
	RF	RFS	RFSC	RFSC2		
VFA, mM	130.00 ^b	130.50 ^b	142.50 ^a	148.75 ^a	8.09	0.028
NH ₃ , mM	3.50 ^b	5.17 ^a	4.08 ^b	4.33 ^b	0.23	0.008
pH	6.99	6.99	6.98	6.92	0.02	0.051

^{a,b,c} differences in superscripts indicate significantly different mean values

VFA: volatile fatty acids; SE: standard error of mean; P: probability

RF: 100% fermented rice straw; RFS: RF + 10% supplements; RFSC: RFS + 10% concentrate; RFSC2: RFS + 20% concentrate

NH₃ is the main nitrogen source used by rumen microbes to live and breed and to produce

microbial proteins [20]. The effectiveness of NH₃ use must be accompanied by the synchronization of NH₃

concentrations with the availability of carbon chains [21]. The high NH₃ content in the RFS treatment was due to the hydrolysis of urea to NH₃ in the rumen occurring faster than its use by rumen microbes. The lack of availability of carbon framework sources causes slow use of NH₃ by microbes. The low VFA concentration in the RFS treatment indicated the lack of availability of carbon frameworks that can be used by microbes in forming microbial proteins with NH₃ as the amide group.

The addition of a supplement and a concentrate did not affect the pH of the rumen fluid (P > 0.05). Rumen acidity indicates the condition of the rumen environment and ensures an optimal environment for the rumen microbes. Cellulolytic bacteria that ferment straw live in the rumen under neutral pH conditions and are sensitive to a low rumen pH [22]. A decrease in pH usually occurs because of the fast rate of fermentation

of concentrate feed that is easily degraded, but the addition of concentrates up to 20% did not cause a decrease in the rumen pH.

Nutrient Digestion

Table 3 shows the digestibility of nutrients in the in vitro rumen of the fermented rice straw with the addition of supplements and concentrates. The lowest digestibility of dry matter and organic matter was found in the RF rations (control) and increased with the addition of the supplement (RFS), and the highest digestibility was found with the addition of 20% concentrate (RFSC2) (P < 0.01). The digestion of dry matter and organic matter in the rumen showed the percentage of nutrients available to livestock as a result of fermentation by microbes in the rumen. Rumen digestion constitutes 85% of the total digestion of nutrients in the digestive tract of ruminants [15].

Table-3. Digestion of rumen in vitro of fermented rice straw with the addition of supplements and concentrates

Digestibility Parameters	Treatment Ration				SE	P-value
	RF	RFS	RFSC	RFSC2		
DMD	26.28 ^c	30.69 ^b	34.18 ^b	41.43 ^a	0.01	0.003
OMD	28.52 ^c	32.71 ^b	34.77 ^b	43.38 ^a	0.02	0.008
CPD	44.98 ^b	57.53 ^a	61.42 ^a	59.49 ^a	0.04	0.048
CFD	24.19	24.08	22.86	24.82	0.02	0.520

differences in superscripts indicate significantly different mean values

DMD: dry matter digestibility; OMD: organic matter digestibility; CPD: crude protein digestibility; CFD: crude fiber digestibility; SE: standard error of means; P: probability
 RF: 100% fermented rice straw; RFS: RF + 10% supplements; RFSC: RFS + 10% concentrate; RFSC2: RFS + 20% concentrate

Crude protein digestibility was found to be lowest in the control rations, and supplementation increased (P < 0.05) the digestibility of crude protein, while the addition of the concentrate had no significant effect. The supplement contained easily degradable urea and carbohydrates as an available energy source, which allows for optimization of rumen microbial growth [11]. The protein content of the rations was relatively low, ranging between 9.82 and 11.21%, while the standard of SNI for fattening beef cattle ration contains at least 13% crude protein [23].

The addition of the supplement and concentrate on the fermented rice straw did not significantly affect the digestibility of crude fiber in the rumen (P > 0.05). The fermented rice straw exhibited high lignin content (8.99%) (Table 1), and lignin bonding with crude fiber inhibits the action of cellulase enzymes to digest crude fiber. The addition of the supplement and concentrate was not able to remove the limitation of crude fiber digestibility in the fermented rice straw.

Fiber fraction digestion

In Table 4, the in vitro digestibility of the fiber fraction of the rations showed that the NDF and cellulose digestibility increased due to the addition of the concentrate (P < 0.05), while the addition of the supplement had no significant effect. NDF showed the cell wall fraction content in the rations. Rice straw contains high amounts of silica (12-16%) compared to those in other agricultural wastes (only 3-5%) [5], and a high silica content inhibits the digestion of NDF. The addition of the supplement did not significantly influence the digestibility of NDF, while the addition of the concentrate was able to increase the digestibility of NDF. The concentrate contained a fast available energy source that could be used for microbial growth and activity in the rumen, increasing NDF digestion [24]. The physical, chemical and biological treatment of straw can break lignocellulosic bonds and increase the digestibility of NDF and cellulose in fermented rice straw [6].

Table-4: Digestion of fiber fractions of fermented rice straw with the addition of supplements and concentrates

Fiber fraction Digestibility	Treatment Ration	SE	P-value
------------------------------	------------------	----	---------

	RF	RFS	RFSC	RFSC2		
NDF	13.31 ^b	16.95 ^b	20.95 ^a	20.56 ^a	0.02	0.013
ADF	21.89 ^b	23.43 ^b	24.86 ^b	29.53 ^a	0.02	0.040
Cellulose	34.26 ^b	39.11 ^b	45.47 ^a	52.16 ^a	0.02	0.004
Hemicellulose	23.91 ^b	34.53 ^a	36.45 ^a	36.87 ^a	0.04	0.014

22 differences in superscripts indicate significantly different mean values

NDF: neutral detergent fiber; ADF: acid detergent fiber; SE: standard error of means; P: probability

RF: 100% fermented rice straw; RFS: RF + 10% supplements; RFSC: RFS + 10% concentrate; RFSC2: RFS + 20% concentrate

The digestion of hemicellulose increased with the addition of the supplement ($P < 0.05$) but was not 8ected by the addition of the concentrate. Hemicellulose is known to be more easily digested than other cell wall components due to its amorphous structure and lower polymerization levels [25]. Increased digestibility of hemicellulose with the addition of the supplement and increased digestibility of cellulose with the addition of the concentrate showed that both the supplement and concentrate played a synergistic role in increasing the digestibility of cell wall components as a source of energy for livestock.

ADF digestibility only increased with the addition of 20% concentrate ($P < 0.05$). The constituent components of ADF bind strongly to lignin, which makes ADF components difficult to penetrate by rumen microbial enzymes [26]. At the 20% concentrate level, the starch content was increased, and the starch content in rations is known to be positively correlated with the ability of microbes to digest plant cell walls [27].

The addition of the supplement and concentrate decreased the fiber fraction content of the ration, thus increasing the content of food substances that were easily degraded in the ration. The availability of easily degraded food substances increases the ability of microbes to digest fiber fractions, thereby increasing the digestibility of fiber fractions [28].

CONCLUSIONS

19 In vitro rumen digestibility of fermented rice straw can be improved by the addition of 6 supplements and a concentrate. Supplements can increase the digestibility of dry matter, organic matter, crude protein, and hemicellulose, while the addition of concentrate can increase the digestibility of NDF and cellulose. The best ration composition with the use of fermented rice straw was an 80:10:10 ratios (% DM basis) of fermented rice straw, supplement, and concentrate.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to acknowledge and thank the Agriculture Polytechnic Payakumbuh for the funding given to our research program with contract number: 27/PL25/PL.00.02/2019 and the facilities provided at the Nutrition and Feed Technology Laboratory.

REFERENCES

1. Sulaiman AA, Inounu I, Torang S, Madaiswar. SIWAB Solusi Cerdas Swasembada Daging Sapi dan Kerbau. Jakarta: Sekjen Kementan RI. 2017; 96.
2. Hatew B, Cone JW, Pellikaan WF, Podesta SC, Bannink A, Hendriks WH. Relationship between in vitro and in vivo methane production measured simultaneously with different dietary starch sources and starch levels in dairy cattle. Anim Feed Sci Technol. 2015; 202:20–31.
3. Nejad JG, Torbatinejad N, Naserian AA, Kumar S, Kim JD, Song YH. Effects of processing of starter diets on performance, nutrient digestibility, rumen biochemical parameters and body measurements of Brown Swiss dairy calves. Asian-Australasian J Anim Sci. 2012;25(7):980–7.
4. Liu J, Liu X, Ren J, Zhao H, Yuan X, Wang X, et al. The effects of fermentation and adsorption using lactic acid bacteria culture broth on the feed quality of rice straw. J Integr Agric. 2015;14:503–13.
5. Singh B, Kumar D. Crop Residue Management through Options. IJAEB. 2018;11(3):427–32.
6. Malik K, Tokkas J, Anand RC, Kumari N. Pretreated rice straw as an improved fodder for ruminants-An overview. J Appl Nat Sci. 2015;7(1):514–20.
7. T. Huyen N, Quang Tuan B, Xuan Nghie N, Thi Bich T N, Thi Tuyet N. Effect of Using Fungal Treated Rice Straw in Sheep Diet on Nutrients Digestibility and Microbial Protein Synthesis. Asian J Anim Sci. 2019;13(1):1–7.
8. Nazli MH, Halim RA, Abdullah AM, Hussin G, Samsudin AA. Potential of feeding beef cattle with whole corn crop silage and rice straw in Malaysia. Trop Anim Health Prod. 2018; 50:1119–1124.
9. Wanapat M, Kang S, Khejornsart P, Pilajun R. Improvement of whole crop rice silage nutritive value and rumen degradability by molasses and urea supplementation. Trop Anim Health Prod. 2013; 45(8):1777–81.
10. Ramaiyulis, Zain M, Ningrat RWS, Warly L. Interaction Effects of Cattle Feed Supplement and Concentrate on Rumen Fermentability and Fiber Fraction Degradability in Low-Quality Forage. Sch J Agric Vet Sci
11. Ramaiyulis, Ningrat RWS, Zain M, Warly L. Optimization of Rumen Microbial Protein Synthesis by Addition of Gambier Leaf Residue to Cattle Feed Supplement. Pakistan J Nutr. 2019;

- 1;18(1):12–9.
12. Tilley JMA, Terry RA. A two-stage technique for the in vitro digestion of forage crops. *Grass Forage Sci.* 1963;18(1):104–11.
 13. AOAC. Official Methods of Analysis Association of Official Agriculture Chemist. 13th ed. Horwitz W, editor. Vol. 1. Washington,DC: Association of Official Analytical Chemists; 1980; 552.
 14. Van Soest PJ, Robertson JB, Lewis BA. Methods for Dietary Fiber, Neutral Detergent Fiber, and Nonstarch Polysaccharides in Relation to Animal Nutrition. *J Dairy Sci.* 1991;74(10):3583–97.
 15. Russell JB. Rumen Microbiology and Its Role in Ruminant Nutrition. *Sci Rumen Ferment Encycl Microbiol Rural Res CSIRO.* 2002;292(4):1119–22.
 16. Van Soest PJ. Nutritional Ecology of the Ruminant. 2nd Edn. Cornell University Press, London, UK; 1994. 476 p.
 17. Mullik ML. Quantification of the Efficiency of Rumen Microbial Protein Synthesis in Steers Fed Green Tropical Grass. *Maj Ilm Peternak.* 2012;11(1):110–7.
 18. Ramaiyulis, Zain M, Ningrat RWS, Warly L. Protection of Protein in Cattle Feed Supplement from Rumen Microbial Degradation with Addition of Gambier Leaf Residue. *Int J Zool Res.* 2019 1;15(1):6–12.
 19. Dentinho MTP, Belo AT, Bessa RJB. Digestion, ruminal fermentation and microbial nitrogen supply in sheep fed soybean meal treated with *Cistus ladanifer* L. tannins. *Small Rumin Res.* 2014;119(1–3):57–64.
 20. Owens FN, Qi S, Sapienza DA. INVITED REVIEW: Applied protein nutrition of ruminants— Current status and future directions1. *Prof Anim Sci.* 2014;30(2):150–79.
 21. Kardaya D, Wiryawan K, Winugroho H, Parakkasi A. In vitro slow-release urea characteristics under different molasses levels contained in rice straw based diets. *Indon J Anim VeterSci.* 2009;14(3):177–91.
 22. Russell JB, Wilson DB. Why Are Ruminant Cellulolytic Bacteria Unable to Digest Cellulose at Low pH? *J Dairy Sci.* 1996;79(8):1503–9.
 23. BSN. Pakan konsentrat - Bagian 2 : Sapi potong. Badan Standardisasi Nasional Indonesia; 2009.
 24. Gómez ML, L Posada S, Olivera M. Starch in ruminant diets: a review. *Rev Colomb Ciencias Pecu.* 2016;29(2):77–90.
 25. Zhao J, Dong Z, Li J, Chen L, Bai Y, Jia Y. Effects of sugar sources and doses on fermentation dynamics, carbohydrates changes, in vitro digestibility and gas production of rice straw silage. *Ital J Anim Sci.* 2019 Jan 2;18(1):1345–55.
 26. Van Soest PJ. Rice straw, the role of silica and treatments to improve quality. *Anim Feed Sci Technol.* 2006;130(3–4):137–71.
 27. Dong C, Xu N, Ding C, Gu H. Rapid evaluation method for rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) straw feeding quality. *F Crop Res.* 2018; 228:204–9.
 28. Olijhoek DW, Løvendahl P, Lassen J, Hellwing ALF, Höglund JK, Weisbjerg MR. Methane production, rumen fermentation, and diet digestibility of Holstein and Jersey dairy cows being divergent in residual feed intake and fed at 2 forage-to-concentrate ratios. *J Dairy Sci.* 2018;1–15.

2. Improving Rumen Fermentability and Fiber Fraction Digestion of Fermented Rice Straw with A Cattle Feed Supplement and A Concentrate

ORIGINALITY REPORT

22%

SIMILARITY INDEX

17%

INTERNET SOURCES

15%

PUBLICATIONS

%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1 dosen.ppp.ac.id 3%
Internet Source

2 saudijournals.com 2%
Internet Source

3 Ramaiyulis ., Madiati Zain, Rusmana Wijaya Setia Ningrat, Lili Warly. "Protection of Protein in Cattle Feed Supplement from Rumen Microbial Degradation with Addition of Gambier Leaf Residue", International Journal of Zoological Research, 2019 2%
Publication

4 profiles.uonbi.ac.ke 1%
Internet Source

5 serval.unil.ch 1%
Internet Source

6 media.neliti.com 1%
Internet Source

erepo.unud.ac.id

7	Internet Source	1 %
8	www.tandfonline.com Internet Source	1 %
9	Yulin Ma, Xu Chen, Muhammad Zahoor Khan, Jianxin Xiao, Shuai Liu, Jingjun Wang, Zhiyuan He, Congcong Li, Zhijun Cao. "The Impact of Ammoniation Treatment on the Chemical Composition and In Vitro Digestibility of Rice Straw in Chinese Holsteins", <i>Animals</i> , 2020 Publication	1 %
10	topsecretapiaccess.dovepress.com Internet Source	1 %
11	oa.upm.es Internet Source	1 %
12	Corinne Aubert, Olivier Buisine, M. Petit, F. Slowinski, Max Malacria. "Cobalt-mediated cyclotrimerization and cycloisomerization reactions. Synthetic applications", <i>Pure and Applied Chemistry</i> , 1999 Publication	1 %
13	www.nature.com Internet Source	1 %
14	Chang Liu, Guo Qiang Zhao, Sheng Nan Wei, Hak Jin Kim, Yan Fen Li, Jong Geun Kim. "Changes in fermentation pattern and quality	<1 %

of Italian ryegrass (*Lolium multiflorum* Lam.) silage by wilting and inoculant treatments", *Animal Bioscience*, 2021

Publication

15

Oscar Madzingira, Venaune Hepute, Evelyn Nanjeke Mwenda, Erick Kandiwa, Borden Mushonga, John F. Mupangwa. "Nutritional assessment of three baled rice straw varieties intended for use as ruminant feed in Namibia", *Cogent Food & Agriculture*, 2021

Publication

16

animalproduction.net

Internet Source

<1 %

17

popups.uliege.be

Internet Source

<1 %

18

researchspace.ukzn.ac.za

Internet Source

<1 %

19

A H Fattah, J A Syamsu, A Natsir, S Garantjang. " digestibility of fermented rice straw combined with different levels of green concentrate ", *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 2020

Publication

<1 %

20

Rajwinder Singh, Mahesh Patel. "Effective utilization of rice straw in value-added by-products: A systematic review of state of art

<1 %

and future perspectives", Biomass and Bioenergy, 2022

Publication

21

www.spandidos-publications.com

Internet Source

<1 %

22

scholar.sun.ac.za

Internet Source

<1 %

23

fdocuments.net

Internet Source

<1 %

24

M. N. Jha, S. K. Chaurasia, R. C. Bharti. "Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management on Rice Yield, Soil Nutrient Profile, and Cyanobacterial Nitrogenase Activity under Rice–Wheat Cropping System", Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis, 2013

Publication

<1 %

25

www.frontiersin.org

Internet Source

<1 %

26

www.nexusacademicpublishers.com

Internet Source

<1 %

27

S. Perttilä, J. Valaja, K. Partanen, T. Jalava, T. Kiiskinen, S. Palander. "Effects of preservation method and β -glucanase supplementation on ileal amino acid digestibility and feeding value of barley for poultry", British Poultry Science, 2010

Publication

<1 %

28

atrium.lib.uoguelph.ca

Internet Source

<1 %

29

repositorio.unesp.br

Internet Source

<1 %

30

www.thefreelibrary.com

Internet Source

<1 %

31

EVITAYANI. "Nutritive value of selected grasses in North Sumatra, Indonesia", *Animal Science Journal*, 10/2005

Publication

<1 %

32

Hao Xu, Shuo Cheng, Douglas Hungwe, Kunio Yoshikawa, Fumitake Takahashi. "Co-pyrolysis coupled with torrefaction enhances hydrocarbons production from rice straw and oil sludge: The effect of torrefaction on co-pyrolysis synergistic behaviors", *Applied Energy*, 2022

Publication

<1 %

33

Krause, Denis O., Stuart E. Denman, Roderick I. Mackie, Mark Morrison, Ann L. Rae, Graeme T. Attwood, and Christopher S. McSweeney. "Opportunities to improve fiber degradation in the rumen: microbiology, ecology, and genomics", *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*, 2003.

Publication

<1 %

34

Sri Sukaryani, Dianto Azar Aswad, Engkus Ainul Yakin. "FERMENTATION OF RICE STRAW

<1 %

USING TRICHODERMA AA1 MUTANT WITH DIFFERENT TIME ON ACID DETERGENT FIBER (ADF) LEVELS AND NEUTRAL DETERGENT FIBER (NDF)", Bantara Journal of Animal Science, 2019

Publication

35

Xiao-Xiao Hou, An Hu, Mao Li, Shu-Qian Huan, Wen-Juan Xun, Yun-Chi Zhu, Rong-Shu Dong. "Effects of Inoculation with Different Rhizobia on the Nutritional Value and Ruminal Fermentation of Desmodium intortum", Fermentation, 2023

Publication

<1 %

36

academicjournals.org

Internet Source

<1 %

37

aem.asm.org

Internet Source

<1 %

38

bioone.org

Internet Source

<1 %

39

irep.iium.edu.my

Internet Source

<1 %

40

jsnyxb.jaas.ac.cn

Internet Source

<1 %

41

www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

Internet Source

<1 %

42

zombiedoc.com

<1 %

43

Saroj, Kirtti Ranjan Paltasingh, Pabitra Kumar Jena. "Does contract farming enhance farm efficiency? A case of wheat growers of Haryana, India", Heliyon, 2023

Publication

<1 %

Exclude quotes On

Exclude matches Off

Exclude bibliography On