



BOOK PROGRAM AND ABSTRACTS **ICAPFS 2021**

2nd International Conference on Animal Production for Food Sustainability

"The Future Challenges and Strategy for Animal Production and Agroecotechnology*

PARTNERSHIP



WEDNESDAY **JUNE 16th 2021**

Via Zoom Meeting



Selected manuscripty will be available of a

Indenial by

IOP Publishing Scopus

CONFERENCE COMMITTEE

Advisory Committee	: Dr. Ir. Adrizal, M. Si
-	(Dean of Animal Science Faculty, Universitas Andalas)
Steering Committee (SC)	: Dr. Ir. Adrizal, M. Si
	(Dean of Animal Science Faculty, Universitas Andalas)
	Dr. Rusfidra, S. Pt, MP
	(Vice Dean I of Animal Science Faculty)
	Dr. Ir. Firda Arlina, M. Si
	(Vice Dean II of Animal Science Faculty)
	Dr. Ir. Rusmana Wijaya Setia Ningrat, M. Rur. Sc
	(Vice Dean III of Animal Science Faculty)
	Dr. Ir. I Nyoman Tirta Ariana, MS
	(Dean of Animal Science Faculty, Universitas Udayana)
	Dr. Dewi Ayu Warmadewi, S.Pt., M.Si
	(Vice Dean I of Animal Science Faculty, Universitas Udayana)
	Dr. Ir. Budi Rahayu Tanama Putri, S.Pt., MM, JPM, ASEAN, Eng.
	(Vice Dean II of Animal Science Faculty, Universitas Udayana)
	Dr. I Nyoman Sumerta Miwada, S.Pt., MP
	(Vice Dean III of Animal Science Faculty, Universitas Udayana)
Tim Reviewer	: Prof. Dr. Ir. Gusti Ayu Mayani Kristina Dewi, MS, IPM (Unud)
	Prof. Dr. Ir. Zaituni Udin, M.Sc (Unand)
	Prof. Dr. Ir. Asdi Agustar, M.Sc (Unand)
	Prof. Dr. Ir. Husmaini, MP (Unand)
	Prof. Dr. Ir. Yose Rizal, M.Sc (Unand)
	Prof. Dr. Ir. Mardiati Zain, MS (Unand)
Organizing Committee (OC)	
Chairpenon	: Prof. Dr. Ir. James Hellyward, MS, IPU, ASEAN Eng
Vice chairperson I	: Dr. Drh. I Gusti Agung Arta Putra, M.Si
Vice chairperson II	: Prof. Dr. Ir. Yetti Marlida, MS
Secretary	: Dr. Indri Juliyarsi, SP, MP
Treasurer	: Elfi Rahmi, S. Pt, MP
Event	
Coordinator	: Ade Sukma, Ph.D
Members	: Dr. Imana Martaguri, S. Pt, M. Si

Back Program and Abstracts ICAPPS 2021 Wednesday Jane 19th 2021

> Aronal Arief Putra, S. Pt, M. Sc, Ph.D Eli Ratni, S. Pt, MP Dr. Nurhayati, S. Pt, MM Afriani Sandra, S. Pt, M. Sc Rahmat Mulyadi, SE

Secretariat

Coordinator

Members

: Robi Amizar, S.Pt., M.Si : Yulianti Fitri Kurnia, S.Pt., M.Si Adisti Rastosari, S.Pt., M.Sc Rizqan, S.Pt., MP Apni Tristia Umiarti, S.Pt., M.Si Indry Zelita Suci, S.Kom Thorik Arzaki Aiman Fahimsyah

Publishing

Coordinator Members : Dr. Sri Melia, STP, MP : Ferawati, S.Pt, MP Rahmi Wati, S. Pt, M. Si Linda Suhartati, S.Pt, M.Si Dr. I Made Mudita, S.Pt, MP I Wayan Sukanata, S.Pt, M.Si Aditya Algamal Alianta, S.Pt, M.Sc

Documentation and Publication

Coordinator	: Dr. I Putu Ari Astawa, S.Pt., MP
Members	: Made Wirapartha, S.Pt., M.Si
	Nurcholis, S.Pt., M.Si
	Ediset, S.Pt, M.Si
	Riza Andesca Putra, S.Pt., M.P
	Salman
Fund Rising	
Coordinator	: Dr. Ir. Tinda Afriani, MP.
Members	: Ni Made Witariadi, S.Pt., MP
	Dr. Eny Puspani, S.Pt., M.Si
	Dr. Ir. Elly Roza, MS
	Dessy Susanti, S.Pt

TABLE OF CONTENT

INTRODUCTION		i
TABLE OF CONTENT		v
CONFERENCE COMMITTEE		vii
MODERATOR		in
CONFERENCE MEETING ROOM	OM CODE	
CONFERENCE GUIDANCE		x
CONFERENCE PROGRAM		ni
ABSTRACT OF SPEAKER		xl
ABTRACT OF PRESENTER		nlin
ANIMAL PRODUCTION A	ND TECHNOLOGY	1
FEED AND NUTRITION		77
FOOD SCIENCE AND TEC	HNOLOGY	117
SOCIAL ECONOMIC		159

ZOOM ROOM B

Moderator : 1. Dr. Roni Pazla, S.Pt., M.P/ CP. 0823-8999-0129

2. Imanuel Benu, SPt. PhD/ CP. 0812-8303-3986

University Time : 13.30-14.40 (West Indonesian Time)

Venue : ROOM B Parallel 1

NO	CODE	WEST IN- DONESIAN TIME	PRESENTER	ABSTRACT TITLE
1	BP1-2001	13.30-13.40	GUSRI YANTI	BIODELIGNIFICATION OF SUG- ARCANE TOP: AGRICULTURAL WASTE MANAGEMENT STRATE- GY AS ALTERNATIVE FEED FOR RUMINANTS
2	BP1-2002	13.40-13.50	KHALIL	BOTANICAL AND MINERAL COM- POSITION OF DOMINANT WILD FORAGES FOR FEEDING OF DAIRY GOATS IN PAYAKUMBUH REGION
3	BP1-2003	13.50-14.00	WIWIN APRI HARTINA	THE ADDITION OF FEED ADDI- TIVES IN BEEF CATTLE RATION ON IN VITRO FERMENTATION CHARACTERISTICS
4	BP1-2004	14.00-14.10	W.P. SAHRONI	REFORMULATION OF DAIRY COW DIETS BASED ON RUMEN DE- GRADABLE PROTEIN AND TOTAL DIGESTIBLE NUTRIENT WITH VARYING LEVELS ON IN VITRO FERMENTABILITY AND DIGEST- IBILITY
5	BP1-2005	14.10-14.20	RAMAIYULIS	EFFECT OF ADDITION CATTLE FEED SUPPLEMENT ON IN VITRO FERMENTATION, SYNTHESIS OF MICROBIAL BIOMASS, AND METH- ANE PRODUCTION OF RICE STRAW FERMENTATION BASAL DIETS
6	BP1-2006	14.20-14.30	S. F. I. RAH- MAT	RUMEN DEGRADATION PROP- ERITES OF TROPICAL LEGUMES FEED UNDER IN SACCO STUDIES
7	BP1-2007	14.30-14.40	JOLA JOSE- PHIEN MARI- ANE ROOSJE LONDOK	EFFECT OF INTERACTIONS BE- TWEEN LAURIC ACID AND DI- ETARY FIBER ON IN VITRO DIGESTIBILITY OF PROTEIN, EX- TRACT ETHER AND CRUDE FIBER IN BROILER FEED

CODE :2005

EFFECT OF ADDITION CATTLE FEED SUPPLEMENT ON IN VITRO FERMENTATION, SYNTHESIS OF MICROBIAL BIOMASS, AND METHANE PRODUCTION OF RICE STRAW FERMENTATION BASAL DIETS

Ramaiyulis¹, Eva Yulia¹, Devi Kumala Sari¹, and Nilawati¹

Animal husbandry study program, Agriculture Polytechnic of Payakumbuh, Lima Puluh Kota, Indonesia, 26271, E-mail: ramaiyulis@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The objective of this study was to evaluate the influence of additional cattle feed supplement (CFS) in ruminant diets based on rice straw fermentation (RF) on in vitro fermentation, microbial biomass synthesis, and enteric methane production. A randomized complete block design repeated in two periods (block) was used. Five experimental diets were evaluated, including a control treatment based on rice straw fermentation, and four additional treatments addition of CFS 10, 20, 30, 40 (%DM). Additional of CFS was increase the gas production (P < 0.05) and highest in treatments 20 and 30% CFS (218 and 231 mL g-1, respectively) and was decrease the production of enteric methane by 23.2 to 28.1%. Ruminal protozoa population increased by 40% CFS (P < 0.05) and was dominated (82%) of Entodiniinae genus. The treatments CFS 10-30% promoted greater (P < 0.05) microbial biomass synthesis (229, 231 and 278 mg g-1, respectively). In conclusion, the addition of CFS in ruminant diets based on rice straw fermentation can promote a greater synthesis of microbial biomass and decrease the production of enteric methane.

Keywords: Feed supplement, methane, microbial protein, microbial biomass







CERTIFICATE

ICAPFS 2021

NO.: 175/Cert/ICAPFS-2/FPt-Unand-Unud/VI/2021

Presented to

Dr. Ramaiyulis, S.Pt, MP

oral presenter

as

In the ICAPFS 2021 event with the theme "The Future Challenges and Strategy for Animal Production and Agroecotechnology" organized by Universitas Andalas and Universitas Udayana



Chairman



Prof. Dr. Ir. James Hellyward, M.S, IPU, ASEAN Eng NIP. 196107161986031005

Dean of the Faculty of Animal Husbandry

DF 11-1 Nyoman Tirta Ariana, MS. NIP. 196104111986031005

PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Effect of addition cattle feed supplement on in vitro fermentation, synthesis of microbial biomass, and methane production of rice straw fermentation basal diets

To cite this article: Ramaiyulis et al 2021 IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci. 888 012070

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

- Application of cinnamon and gotu kola supplements for increasing quail hematological status (Coturnixcoturnixaustralica)
 S M Mas'adah, Sunarno and M A Djaelani
- <u>The effect of protected soybean groats</u> and soybean oil as feed supplement on total gas production A Pramono, Lutojo, Prayitno et al.
- <u>The effects of leguminous</u> supplementation on ammoniated rice straw based completed feed on nutrient digestibility on *in vitro* microbial protein synthesis Mardiati Zain, Rusmana W S Ningrat, Eroomen et al.

The Electrochemical Society

241st ECS Meeting

May 29 – June 2, 2022 Vancouver • BC • Canada Abstract submission deadline: **Dec 3, 2021**

Connect. Engage. Champion. Empower. Acclerate. We move science forward



This content was downloaded from IP address 110.137.83.47 on 16/11/2021 at 03:28

Effect of addition cattle feed supplement on in vitro fermentation, synthesis of microbial biomass, and methane production of rice straw fermentation basal diets

Ramaiyulis, E Yulia, D K Sari and Nilawati

Animal Husbandry study program, Agriculture Polytechnic of Payakumbuh, Lima Puluh Kota, Indonesia, 26271, E-mail: ramaiyulis@gmail.com

Abstract. The objective of this study was to evaluate the influence of supplementation of cattle feed supplement (CFS) and concentrate in ruminant diets based on rice straw fermented (R) on in vitro rumen fermentation, microbial biomass synthesis, and enteric methane production. Five experimental diets were evaluated, consist of R = rice straw fermented 100%, RS = R + CFS10%, RSC1, 2 and 3 = RS + Concentrate levels 10, 20 and 30 (%DM). Supplementation of CFS increased the gas production (P < 0.05) and highest in treatments RSC1 and 2 (44.09 and 44.87 ml/g substrate, respectively) and was decreased proportions of methane by inhibition rate until 49.80%. Ruminal protozoa population increased by CFS dan concentrate supplementation (P<0,05) and was dominated (>80%) of Entodinium genus. The treatments RS dan RSC1 promoted greater (P < 0.01) microbial biomass synthesis (386.32 and 312.39 mg/ g substrate, respectively). In conclusion, the supplementation of CFS and concentrate in ruminant diets based on rice straw fermented can promote a greater synthesis of microbial biomass and mitigation of methane production.

Keywords: Feed supplement, methane, microbial protein, microbial biomass

1. Introduction

Processing agricultural waste into quality animal feed has supported the development of beef cattle farming in Indonesia. Beef cattle fattening, known as the "Kreman" system [1], is a feedlot fattening with fermented rice straw as the main feed with high concentrate supplementation of up to 40%. Fermentation is one way of biologically processing rice straw to improve nutrition and digestibility in ruminants [2]. Concentrate supplementation provides an adequate supply of nutrients to achieve optimal livestock production [3].

Feed nutrient supply is expected to be used efficiently in the metabolism of ruminants. For example, condensed tannins were reported to increase feed efficiency in increasing rumen fermentation rate, microbial biomass production, and mitigating methane production in rice straw basal diets [4]. One of the potential sources of condensed tannins in West Sumatra, Indonesia, is the gambier plant (Uncaria gambir RoxB). Cattle feed supplements containing gambier leaf residue formulated with feed ingredients containing high soluble carbohydrates, nitrogen (CP=23%), and minerals were reported to optimize rumen microbial growth [5].

Efforts to increase fermented rice straw as a source of forage for beef cattle need to be supported by developing feed supplements and feed concentrate on producing optimal feed efficiency. Therefore, this study aims to obtain the composition of fermented straw, animal feed supplements, and concentrates that can increase rumen fermentation, microbial biomass synthesis, and mitigate methane production.

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd 1

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Treatment diets

Fermented rice straw is made from rice straw (*Oryza sativa*, variety IR64) taken from the leftover rice harvest chopped with a chopper machine to cut and bruise the straw. Then added bran 5%, urea 1% (fresh basis), and sprinkled with *Rhizopus spp* yeast flour. Fermentation was carried out in an airtight plastic sack for two weeks at room temperature.

Cattle feed supplements (CFS) are made from a mixture of brown sugar 15% dissolved in 1 liter of water and add to a mix of bran 27%, coconut cake 12%, soybean meal 15%, tapioca 15%, urea 5%, salt 5%, minerals 3% and gambier (*Uncaria gambir* RoxB) leaves 5%. In contrast, the concentrate consists of a mixture of sago pith 30%, bran 30%, cassava 20%, and coconut pulp 20%. The treatment diets is shown in Table 1, consisting of R: fermented rice straw 100% (control), RS: R + CFS 10%, RSC1, 2 and 3: RS + Concentrate10%, 20% and 30% respectively.

l'able 1.	. Ingred	ients and	chemical	compos	sition of	treatment	diets.

Items		Treatment Diets				R	CFS	С
Itellis	R	RS	RSC1	RSC2	RSC3	K	СГЗ	C
Ingredients (%DM)								
Rice straw fermented (R)	100	90	80	70	60			
CFS	-	10	10	10	10			
Concentrates (C)	-	-	10	20	30			
Chemical composition (%D	<i>DM)</i>							
Organic matters						87.06	88.26	94.12
Crude protein						9.82	23.31	11.64
BETN						43.53	52.36	69.21
NDF						70.35	27.16	36.56
Lignins						8.99	0.82	0.96
Tannins					1.516	-	1.17	-

CFS = cattle feed supplement, C = Concentrates, R = Rice straw fermented, DM = dry matter.

2.2. In vitro fermentation study

In vitro gas production test (IVGPT) follows the method [6]. Exactly 1 g of air-dried sample (1.0 mm size) according to the treatment was put into a 100 ml serum bottle, then added 100 ml of a mixture of artificial saliva and rumen fluid (4: 1) and incubated 24 hours at 39 °C. The fermentation gas is collected in a plastic bag connected to the bottle cap and measured with 100 ml glass syringes (Fortuna, Haberle, Germany) at the end of incubation. 100 μ l of collected gas used as sampled injected for methane estimation with gas chromatography (Nucon-5765).

The bottle contents were removed and centrifuged at 1,500 rpm for 3 minutes, and the filtrate was used to analyze VFA, ammonia-N, and TCA soluble N [7]. Rumen content was also prepared following the procedure [8] for counting the population and genus of protozoa using the Neubauer chamber at 400x microscope magnification. The residue is washed with 100 ml of neutral detergent solutions, refluxed for one h, and filtered through Whatman 41 is called NDF residue. Truly degradable organic matter in the rumen (TDOMR) = initial OM substrate-NDF residue. Partitioning factor (PF) = TDOMR (mg) / gas production (ml). Microbial biomass production (MBP) (mg) = TDOMR (mg) - (2.2 * gas production), where 2.2 is the stoichiometric factor. The efficiency of microbial biomass production (EMP) = MBP / 100 mg TDOMR.

2.3. Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis of all data generated used The Statistical package for the social sciences (SPSS, Chicago, USA) by one-way ANOVA. The effects were considered significant at P < 0.05 and continued with Duncan's test to determine the mean difference between treatments.

2nd International Conference on Animal Production for Food Sustainabilit	y 2021	IOP Publishing
IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science 888 (2021) 012070	doi:10.1088/1755-13	15/888/1/012070

3. Results and discussion

In Table 2, the results of the measurement of in vitro gas production variables are presented. In vitro rumen dry matter (DMD) degradability and TDOMR of fermented rice straw increased with the addition of CFS and concentrate (P < 0.01), and the highest was found in RS feed followed by RSC1-3. The fermented straw diets (R) showed the lowest degradability of dry matter (DMD) and organic matter (TDOMR) due to the high lignin content (8.99%) in fermented straw, which binds cellulose so that it is not available for degradation by rumen microbes [9]. The addition of 10% CFS increased the degradability of fermented rice straw. That is due to an increase in microbial biomass (MBP) 93% from control which plays a role in producing cellulase enzymes to break down cellulose into VFA. The content of tannins in CFS did not appear to harm the digestibility of dry matter and organic matter. This result is different from the report of other researchers [10], who reported that tannins bound to organic compounds in feed ingredients decreased the digestibility of DMD and OMD in the rumen.

Microbial biomass production (MBP) was found to be lowest in control (R diets) and increased 93% with the addition of CFS (RS diets). CFS and concentrate combined in the RSC1-3 diets resulted in lower MBP than CFS alone in the RS diets. The diets indicate that microbial biomass production in fermented straw diets needs supplementation to produce optimally. The rumen environment and substrate availability influence the growth of microbial biomass in the rumen. CFS contains high soluble carbohydrates (BETN =52.36%) plus nitrogen from urea (NPN) (CP=23.31%) plus macro and micro minerals, essential nutrients for rumen microbial growth [11]. Microbial biomass production in this study is in line with research results [5] which reported optimal microbial biomass production of 111-285 mg with supplements. In contrast, without supplements, Bretschneider researchers reported low microbial biomass production between 170-191 mg in maize silage diets [12].

Microbial production efficiency (EMP) increased significantly (P = 0.031) after the addition of CFS and concentrate, but there was no significant difference (P > 0.05) between the combination of CFS and concentrate. This efficiency states the amount of organic matter digested in the rumen, converted into microbial biomass. This efficiency value is higher than the report [13], 27.9 mg/g BOT in a mixed straw-concentrate diet. In addition, CFS contains condensed tannins 1.17% DM, where tannins can inhibit methane production 49.80% in this study and is in line with the statement [14] that tannins can increase the efficiency of energy use and microbial biomass synthesis.

Parameters		Treatment diets					P-value
ratameters	R	RS	RSC1	RSC2	RSC3	SEM	r-value
DMD, %	24.20 ^e	42.89 ^a	39.06 ^b	33.94°	29.19 ^d	1.06	0.001
TDOMR, mg/ g substrate	280.36°	485.03ª	409.38 ^b	394.05 ^b	315.95°	13.30	0.002
TDOMR, %	28.04°	48.50 ^a	40.94 ^b	39.40 ^b	31.59°	1.33	0.002
MBP, mg	199.75 ^d	386.32ª	312.39 ^{bc}	321.27 ^b	262.60°	16.35	0.004
EMP	67.34 ^b	79.64ª	76.06 ^a	81.49 ^a	80.78ª	2.26	0.031
PF	8.74	14.30	10.96	12.33	15.10	1.36	0.057
Gas production (per g	substrate)						
Total gas, ml	24.25°	36.64 ^b	44.09 ^a	44.87^{a}	33.08 ^b	3.60	0.037
Methane, ml	4.10 ^b	3.11 ^b	4.74 ^a	4.52 ^{ab}	5.48 ^a	0.39	0.030
% methane	16.94ª	8.49 ^b	10.76 ^b	10.08 ^b	16.57ª	1.16	0.006
% inhibition	0.00^{d}	49.80 ^a	36.41 ^b	40.42 ^b	24.02°	3.17	0.029
Fermentation metaboli	ites						
pН	6.99	6.98	6.92	6.98	6.99	0.01	0.181
Total VFA, mM	146	141	144	110	135	5.47	0.280

Table 2. Effect of Supplementation on in vitro rumen degradation,
microbial production, methane inhibition, and fermentation metabolites.

2nd International Conference on Animal Production for Food Sustainability	y 2021	IOP Publishing
IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science 888 (2021) 012070	doi:10.1088/1755-13	15/888/1/012070

Ammonia-N, mg/dL	8.87°	21.44 ^a	11.99 ^b	12.22 ^b	10.43 ^b	2.24	0.042
Total N, g/dL	122.50ь	170.63ª	203.44ª	196.88ª	157.50 ^{ab}	13.72	0.036
TCA-Soluble N	60.74c	114.30 ^{ab}	155.53ª	130.91ª	92.77 ^b	13.34	0.028
Non-protein N	61.76	56.32	47.91	65.96	64.73	3.56	0.054

R = Fermented rice straw 100%, RS = R+10% CFS, RSC1, 2 and 3 = RS+Concentrate levels 10, 20 and 30%.

DMD = in vitro dry matter degradability. TDOMR = truly degradable organic matter in the rumen.

MBP = microbial biomass production. EMP = efficiency of microbial production. PF = Partitioning factor.

^{abc} different superscripts of means in a row differ significantly (P<0,05)

The lowest in vitro fermentation total gas production was found in control (R diets) and the highest in the RSC1 and RSC2 diets. Total gas production shows the level of feed fermentation by microbes in the runen. The rice straw is difficult to ferment, producing lower total gas production than mixed straw, CFS, and concentrate diets. The total gas composition consists of Oxygen 0.5%, Hydrogen 0.2%, Nitrogen 7.0%, methane 26.8% and CO2 64.4% [15].

In this study, the highest methane composition of the total gas was 16.94% in control (R diets), and the lowest in the RS diets was 8.49% (P<0.01). The highest methane production inhibition of 49.80% was found in RS diets with CFS addition. The condensed tannin content in CFS has affected the work of rumen microbes, thereby reducing methane formation. The same thing was reported [16] that condensed tannins (catechins and sinapic acid) reduced methane production without changing the total production gas.

The mechanism of reducing methane gas by tannins occurs due to the inhibition of fiber digestion which reduces the production of Hydrogen and inhibition of growth and activity of methanogens bacteria [17]. Therefore, reducing the proportion of methane in the total gas is an advantage of CFS, considering that methane emissions represent the loss of energy intake (5-15% of the total) generated during the rumen fermentation process [4]. Furthermore, methane production is closely related to the acetate/propionate balance. Therefore, the decrease methane production is in line with the increase in propionate formation in rumen fermentation [18].

CFS and concentrate supplementation had no significant effect (P>0.05) on rumen pH and VFA production. The highest Ammonia-N was found in the RS diets, followed by RSC1-3 and the lowest in control (R diets). The highest TCA soluble N was found in the RSC1-3 diets, and the lowest was in the R diet. TCA soluble N indicates the amount of protein or peptides and amino acids from diets and microbial protein. Although the diet contains high grains and is easy to ferment, it does not lower the rumen pH. Rumen pH needs to be maintained because the activity of cellulolytic bacteria will be inhibited if the rumen pH is below 6.0 [19]. The concentration of VFA in the rumen is closely related to the degradation of non-nitrogen organic matter as the end product of carbohydrate fermentation (cellulose, pectin, and xylan) by rumen microbes, bacteria, and Archae [20]. Therefore, the VFA obtained was optimal to support rumen microbial growth, namely 80-160 mM [11]. VFA balance: ammonia N is required by rumen microbes in synthesizing microbial proteins [21].

Donomotona		Treatment diets					Develope
Parameters	R	R RS RSC1 RSC2 RS		RSC3	SEM	P-value	
Protozoa, x10 ⁵	2.79°	4.68 ^b	7.86ª	7.27ª	7.17 ^a	0.30	0.001
Genus, % of total							
Entodinium	82.3	88.8	89.6	87.9	89.3	0.71	0.167
Diplodinium	11.3	5.9	5.3	5.6	4.6	0.52	0.171
Ophryoscolex	2.5	1.1	0.7	1.3	0.9	0.22	0.126
Isotricha	1.3	1.2	0.8	1.6	1.4	0.18	0.143
Dasytricha	2.6	3	3.6	3.6	3.8	0.30	0.238

Table 3. Effect of supplementation on in vitro rumen protozoa population and genus composition.

R = Fermented rice straw 100%, RS = R+10% CFS, RSC1, 2 and 3 = RS+Concentrate levels 10, 20 and 30%.

2nd International Conference on Animal Production for Food Sustainability 2021IOP PublishingIOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science 888 (2021) 012070doi:10.1088/1755-1315/888/1/012070

The effect of the treatment diets on the composition and genus of rumen protozoa is shown in Table 3. The lowest protozoa population was found in control (R diets), while the highest population was found in the diet with the addition of concentrate in the RSC1-3 diets. The composition of the protozoan genus was not affected (P>0.05) by the treatment diets, but the composition was dominated (>80%) by the Entodinium genus. The protozoa population increased 68% of the control by addition of CFS and increased 68% after the addition of the concentrate. The protozoa population increased because CFS and concentrated contained high soluble (non-structural carbohydrates) with a BETN of 69.21%. Rumen protozoa are more effective in using non-structural carbohydrates by consuming three times faster than bacteria (0.14 vs. 0.04 mol/g protein/min), using them for growth, and storing them as carbohydrate reserves [22]. Other investigators also reported that the population and flow of protozoan cells into the duodenum increased by 25% when animals were fed a diet rich in soluble carbohydrates and decreased when fed a diet rich in cellulose material [23]. The content of condensed tannins in CFS did not harm protozoa, in contrast to other researchers who reported decreased protozoa population due to tannins [24].

4. Conclusion

Supplementation of CFS and concentrate in rice straw fermented basal diets can increase in vitro rumen fermentation, microbial biomass synthesis, and methane production mitigation. The optimal diet composition is 80:10:10% DM of rice straw fermented, cattle feed supplement, and concentrate.

References

- [1] Anggraeni AS, Istiqomah L, Damayanti E. 2019. Trop.J.Trop.Anim.Prod. 20 100–110.
- [2] Huyen NT, Tuan BQ, Nghie NX, Bich NT, Tuyet NT. 2019. Asian J.Anim.Sci. 13 1–7.
- [3] Ramaiyulis, Yulia E, Fati N, Salvia, Nilawati. 2020. Sch.J.Agric.Vet.Sci. 07 35–40.
- [4] Polyorach S, Wanapat M, Cherdthong A, Kang S. 2016. Trop. Anim. Health Prod. 48 593–601.
- [5] Ramaiyulis, Ningrat RWS, Zain M, Warly L. 2019. Pakistan J.Nutr. 18 12–19.
- [6] Menke KH, Steingass H. 1988. Anim. Res. Dev. 28 7-55.
- [7] Zaklouta M, Hilali ME, Nefzaoui A, Haylani M. 2011. Animal Nutrition and Product Quality Laboratory Manual. 92p.
- [8] Ogimoto K, Imai S. 1981. Atlas of Rumen Microbiology 141p.
- [9] Agbagla-Dohnani A, Cornu A, Broudiscou LP. 2012. Animal 6 1642–1647.
- [10] Canadianti M, Yusiati LM, Hanim C, Widyobroto CBP, Astuti A. 2020. Bul. Peternak. 44 10– 14.
- [11] Cammack KM, Austin KJ, Lamberson WR, Conant GC. 2018. J.Anim.Sci. 96 752-770.
- [12] Bretschneider G, Peralta M, Santini FJ, Fay JP, Faverin C. 2007. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 136 23–37.
- [13] Zhao J, Dong Z, Li J, Chen L, Bai Y, Jia Y, Shao T. 2019. Ital. J. Anim. Sci. 18 1345–1355.
- [14] Anantasook N, Wanapat M, Cherdthong A. 2014. J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr. 98 50-55.
- [15] Patra AK, Yu Z. 2013. J. Dairy Sci. 96 1782–1792.
- [16] Wischer G, Boguhn J, Steingas H, Schollenberger M, Rodehut M. 2013. Animal 7 1796–1805.
- [17] Tavendale MH, Meagher LP, Pacheco D, Walker N, Sivakumaran S. 2005. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 123–124 403-419.
- [18] Guyader J, Eugène M, Nozière P, Morgavi DP, Doreau M, Martin C. 2014. Animal. 8 1816– 1825.
- [19] Krehbiel CR. 2014. Prof. Anim. Sci. 30 129–139.
- [20] Seshadri R, Leahy S, Attwood G, Teh KH, Lambie SC. 2018. Nat. Biotechnol. 36 359-367.
- [21] Jin D, Zhao SG, Zheng N, Bu DP, Beckers Y, Wang JQ. 2018. *Livest. Sci.* **210** 104–110.
- [22] Teixeira CRV, Lana RP, Tao J, Hackmann TJ. 2017. Microbiol. Ecol. 93 1–13.
- [23] Yánñez-Ruiz DR, Scollan ND, Merry RJ, Newbold CJ. 2006. Br. J. Nutr. 96 861-869.
- [24] Jolazadeh AR, Dehghan-banadaky M, Rezayazdi K. 2015. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 203 33-40.

2nd International Conference on Animal Production for Food Sustainability 2021IOP PublishingIOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science 888 (2021) 012070doi:10.1088/1755-1315/888/1/012070

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge Politeknik Pertanian Negeri Payakumbuh for facilitating funding from DIPA 2021 and nutrition and feed technology laboratory facilities.