Prosiding 4 Not open access : Oxygen permeability properties of nanocellulose reinforced biopolymer nanocomposites

Silahkan klik google drive utk full access:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1P2ETfsLGz7Cb59BYFDKBQfczE8MYrQ0C/view?usp=sha ring



Anna III - Anna M - Mail

005 (014 668



12 General, Tanches, and Knowless Despiner. Patronic Interne-

New Yorks Charles and an Annual Photoscher Discoverse, Incoverse Million and Recommendation States



Materials Today: Proceedings 52 (2022) 2414-2419



Oxygen permeability properties of nanocellulose reinforced biopolymer nanocomposites

R.A. Ilyas ^{a,b,*}, Ashraf Azmi ^a, N.M. Nurazzi ^c, A. Atiqah ^d, M.S.N. Atikah ^e, Rushdan Ibrahim ^f, Mohd Nor Faiz Norrrahim ^g, M.R.M. Asyraf ^h, Shubham Sharma ⁱ, Sneh Punia ^j, Edi Syafri ^k, Nasmi Herlina Sari ¹, Mochamad Asrofi ^m, S.M. Sapuan ⁿ

(3) WhatsA	🗴 🧭 Materials To 🗙 🔲 Skema Peni 🗙	🕄 Turnitin 🛛 🗙 🖺 Materials To 🗙 🚳 PKM Peng	g 🗙 🤡 UsulanLeng 🗙 🕲 UsulanLen	iej 🗙 🛆 Contoh_Pric 🗙 🤖 Skema Peric 🗙 🕂 💛 🥣	o ×
$\leftrightarrow \rightarrow x$	scimagojr.com/journalsearch.php?q=2	1100370037&tip=sid&clean=0		ie 🛧 🛸 🕹	🛛 🌔 :
M Gmail Ҟ	Maps D YouTube M Gmail D EdiSyaf	ri - YouTube 🕅 News			
	Materials Today:	Proceedings SUBJECT AREA AND CATEGORY	PUBLISHER	H-INDEX	
	United Kingdom Universities and research institutions in United Kingdom Media Ranking in United	Materials Science └─ Materials Science (miscellaneous)	Elsevier Ltd.	56	
×	PUBLICATION TYPE	ISSN	COVERAGE	INFORMATION	
Vaiting for csi.gsta	atic.com rpe here to search	C 🎫 🗉 🎰 🛦 🖼 😁	6 🦪 💶	🍼 24°C Cerah 🥎 🗟 🦧 🦚 10/	15:23

(4) WhatsA; 🗙 🔤 Materials To 🗙 🚾 Scopus pres 🗙 👂 Turnitin 🛛 🗙 🗄 Materials To 🗙 🌚 PKM Pengg 🗴	S UsulanLeng 🗙 🛛 😵 UsulanLeng 🗙	💧 Contoh_Pro	🗙 📗 Skema Peni 🗙 🕇 🕂	~ - O
→ C scopus.com/sourceid/21100370037			Ŕ	🔄 🗯 🛃 🖬 🍪
Gmail 👷 Maps 📭 YouTube M Gmail 💶 Edi Syafri - YouTube 🙍 News				
Scopus Preview	Q Author Search	Sources	⑦	ount Sign in
Source details			Feedback > Con	ipare sources 🗲
Materials Today: Proceedings Scopus coverage years: 2005, from 2014 to Present			CiteScore 2021 2.3	0
E-ISSN: 2214-7853 Subject area: (Materials Science: General Materials Science) Source type: Journal			sjr 2021 0.355	0
View all documents > Set document alert P Save to source list Source Homepage			SNIP 2021 0.575	0
CiteScore CiteScore rank & trend Scopus content coverage				
Improved CiteScore methodology CiteScore 2021 counts the citations received in 2018-2021 to articles, reviews, conference papers, b	ook chapters and data			×
papers published in 2018-2021, and divides this by the number of publications published in 2018-2 P Type here to search	2021. Learn more > 3 3 4	C	24°C Cerah \land 🖻 🖡 🌈	(1) (15:24 10/04/2023

Volume 52 Issue 5

Research article O Abstract only

Effect of advanced oxidation process for chemical structure changes of polyethylene microplastics

Devita Amelia, Eva Fathul Karamah, Melbi Mahardika, Edi Syafri, ... Mochammad Asrofi Pages 2501-2504

Article preview \checkmark

Research article O Abstract only

The development of modified gold nanoparticle for detection of arsenic

Saowapa T. Niyomthai, Pitt Supaphol, Prachaya Niyomthai Pages 2505-2511

Article preview 🗸

Research article O Abstract only

Effect of cleaning solution on corrosion resistance of AISI 304 austenitic stainless steel in railway industry

Thammaporn Thublaor, Apisit Khemphet, Nuttanee Jungjatuporn, Pawared Donloei, Piyorose Promdirek Pages 2512-2516

Article preview \checkmark



Research article O Abstract only

High temperature erosion of T22 steel coated by Cr–Ni electroplating, HVOF sprayed stellite–6 and WC–12Co

Aumpava Kiatisereekul, Kittichai Fakpan Pages 2523-2528 Article preview 🗸

Research article O Abstract only

Effects of auxiliary chemicals and dye solubility on chemical oxygen demand reduction of dyes by electrocoagulation with Fe electrode

Natthaphon Ardhan, Pensiri Tongpadungrod, Chantaraporn Phalakornkule Pages 2529-2533

Article preview 🗸

Research article O Abstract only

Eudragit® RL in 2-pyrrolidone as antisolvent-based in-situ forming matrix

Carun Tuntarawangsa Jangian Mahadlek Setthanang Senarat Thawatchai Dhaechamud

Research article O Abstract only

Eudragit® RL in 2-pyrrolidone as antisolvent-based in-situ forming matrix

Sarun Tuntarawongsa, Jongjan Mahadlek, Setthapong Senarat, Thawatchai Phaechamud Pages 2534-2538

Article preview 🗸

Research article O Abstract only

Approximation in Ellingham diagram and calculation approach using heat capacity of oxides and metals constituent in stainless steels

Panya Wiman, Mack Boonpensin, Phichai Saranyachot, Thammaporn Thublaor Pages 2539-2542

Article preview 🗸

Research article O Abstract only

Limonia Acidissima (wood-apple) shell: Micro and nanoparticles preparation and chemical treatment

Vasantha Kumar Shravanabelagola Nagarajasetty, Govardhan Goud, Sanjay Mavinkere Rangappa, Suchart Siengchin Pages 2543-2547

.



Materials Today: Proceedings 52 (2022) 2414-2419



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Materials Today: Proceedings



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/matpr

Oxygen permeability properties of nanocellulose reinforced biopolymer nanocomposites

R.A. Ilyas ^{a,b,*}, Ashraf Azmi ^a, N.M. Nurazzi ^c, A. Atiqah ^d, M.S.N. Atikah ^e, Rushdan Ibrahim ^f, Mohd Nor Faiz Norrrahim ^g, M.R.M. Asyraf ^h, Shubham Sharma ⁱ, Sneh Punia ^j, Edi Syafri ^k, Nasmi Herlina Sari ¹, Mochamad Asrofi ^m, S.M. Sapuan ⁿ

^a School of Chemical and Energy Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM), Johor Bahru, Johor 81310, Malaysia

^b Centre for Advanced Composite Materials (CACM), Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM), Johor Bahru, Johor 81310, Malaysia

^c Centre for Defence Foundation Studies, Universiti Pertahanan Nasional Malaysia (UPNM), Kem Perdana Sungai Besi, Kuala Lumpur 57000, Malaysia

^d Institute of Microengineering and Nanoelectronics, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi, Selangor 43600, Malaysia

^e Department of Chemical and Environmental Engineering, Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM), Serdang, Selangor 43400, Malaysia

^fPulp and Paper Branch, Forest Research Institute Malaysia, Kepong, Selangor 52109, Malaysia

^g Research Center for Chemical Defence, Universiti Pertahanan Nasional Malaysia (UPNM), Kem Perdana Sungai Besi, Kuala Lumpur 57000, Malaysia

^h Institute of Energy Infrastructure, Universiti Tenaga Nasional, Jalan IKRAM-UNITEN, 43000 Kajang, Selangor, Malaysia

ⁱ Department of Mechanical Engineering, Main Campus, IK Gujral Punjab Technical, India

^j Department of Food, Nutrition and Packaging Sciences, Clemson University, Clemson, SC 29634, United States

^k Department of Agricultural Technology, Agricultural Polytechnic, Payakumbuh, West Sumatra 26271, Indonesia

¹Mechanical Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, University of Mataram, Mataram, Indonesia

^m Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Jember, Kampus Tegalboto, Jember, East Java 68121, Indonesia

ⁿ Laboratory of Biocomposite Technology, Institute of Tropical Forestry and Forest Products, Universiti Putra Malaysia, UPM Serdang, Selangor 43400, Malaysia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Available online 12 November 2021

Keywords: Oxygen permeability Nanocellulose Biopolymer Nanocomposites

ABSTRACT

Dry powder products are highly sensitive to oxygen and water vapour and must have a long shelf life. Currently, they are vacuum-packaged in sachets whose walls are made of a composite film that stops oxygen and water vapour from entering the package. Most of these composites are not biodegradable, a situation that the most agricultural company now wants to change to gain in sustainability. According to National Geography (2019), every year, about 8 million tons of plastic waste escapes into the oceans from coastal nations, with some estimates ranging to at least 400 years to break down. Over the years, there is huge interest in the development and use of biobased packaging materials, however, most of these materials are brittle and have low mechanical properties. Thus, in order to overcome these drawbacks, blended polymers with the reinforcement of nanocellulose are recommended. The aim of this paper is to review packaging films with oxygen barrier properties similar to those of the conventional films but also having biodegradable properties. From the literature, the oxygen permeability coefficient improved with the high strength, modulus, and ductility, as the nanocellulose was reinforcing with biopolymer. This might be due to nanocellulose that contributes to the higher stretchability of the composite biofilms. A fine dispersion of nanocellulose throughout the polymer host promoted several improved properties of the composite biofilms. In addition, nanocellulose had greatly enhanced oxygen and water vapour barrier properties. It would indeed push the usability of biopolymers forward, and certainly, prompt wider application of biodegradable polymers in the fields of environmental protection such as biodegradable food packaging.

Copyright © 2021 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Selection and peer-review under responsibility of the scientific committee of the 2021 Research, Invention, and Innovation Congress: Materials Science.

1. Introduction to oxygen barrier composite materials

Over the past few years, the replacement of petroleum-derived polymers with biopolymers has been one of the most important

* Corresponding author. E-mail address: ahmadilyas@utm.my (R.A. Ilyas).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2021.10.420 2214-7853/Copyright © 2021 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Selection and peer-review under responsibility of the scientific committee of the 2021 Research, Invention, and Innovation Congress: Materials Science.

trends in the polymer field [1-4]. Among the drivers towards more sustainable packaging materials, the following ones stand out: the accumulation of plastics in the ocean, waste legislation, waste management and landfilling, marketing trends, growing environmental awareness, resource insufficiency, the need to reduce energy consumption, as well as producer and consumer accountability [5,6]. Biopolymers are recommended due to their biodegradability, availability, sustainability, biocompatibility, relatively low cost, non-toxicity and appealing physical and mechanical properties; in addition, they bring about a possible reduction in overall carbon footprint [7,8]. The utilisation of biopolymers as alternatives to petroleum-based plastics may reduce carbon dioxide emissions between 30% and 70% [9–11]. While the packaging industry is focusing on reducing the utilisation of raw material, waste volume, and transportation cost [12], producers are focusing on increasing shelf-life of the products and creating lighter products [13], and consumers are focusing on environmentallyfriendly, green packaging, recyclable, and non-fossil-based packaging solutions [14]. The basic goal of a package is to protect the product in a sustainable way from the surrounding environment. Packaging materials function to provide mechanical, biological, and chemical protection to the product. Suitable packaging should make the product more sustainable, reduce the loss of product, enable long shelf life of the product, make the product safe, and fulfil performance metrics. Therefore, in order to achieve these requirements, barrier materials should protect against oxygen, grease, micro-organisms, water, aromatic compounds, moisture, and carbon dioxide. According to Helanto et al. [5], the most common challenges with biopolymers are their low resistance to gases, water, heat, and mechanical stress, as well as their relatively high price [15]. Compared to the common petroleum-based polymers, most biopolymers have some drawbacks, including low oxygen and water barrier properties as well as brittle structure due to the strong intermolecular and intramolecular hydrogen bondings between the chains, especially thermoplastic starch [16-21]. As a component of packaging materials, biopolymers such as polybutylene adipate terephthalate (PBAT) provides the necessary elasticity properties. However, it needs to be combined with other materials such as polylactic acid (PLA) to promote the required oxygen barrier performance [22]. Besides that, a commonly used approach to overcome these drawbacks is to blend these polymers with others polymers, additives or reinforcing agents (such as emulsifiers, kaolin, pectin, natural fibre, nanocellulose, bark, and others) to form composite materials [23–29].

Nanocrystalline cellulose (NCC) is a type of nanocellulose material that resulted from the chemical treatment of cellulose usually sulfuric acid [30–32]. A diameter of between 2 and 100 nm have been reported for nanocrystalline cellulose (NCC) and nanofibrillated cellulose (NFC) and their length can vary between tens of nanometres to micrometres. NCCs are mostly extracted from plants and agricultural residues including softwood, sugar palm, bamboo, jute fibres, coconut husk fibres, pineapple leaf, rice straw, and potato peel. Delignification with sodium hydroxide and sodium chlorite will result in the removal of lignin, hemicellulose, and other impurities. Following that, chemical treatment through acid hydrolysis will be conducted to produce nano-size cellulose. The hydrolysis conditions, including acid/fibre ratio, temperature, hydrolysis time, acid concentration, and sonication time show strong effects on the yield, sulphur content (in the case of sulphuric acid), anionic sites, Zeta potential, width, length and aspect ratio of the produced NCC [31,33]. NCCs show high tensile strength (>1 GPa) and high modulus (about 40 GPa). Researches on NCCs have experienced explosive growth in recent years due to their high potential in a wide variety of advanced applications, derived from the high strength, high modulus, large aspect ratio/surface area, rich surface chemistry, optical properties, low density and biobased nature of the nanofibers. Due to the advantages, nanocelluloses have been widely used as reinforcement materials in a variety of polymers including TPS, polylactic acid (PLA), polybutylene adipate terephthalate (PBAT), polyhydroxyalkanoate (PHA), polybutylene succinate (PBS), chitosan, and pectin [34,35].

This paper aims to give an overview of the use of nanocellulose reinforced biopolymer composite for packaging purposes as well as to summarise the recent developments in various barrier films based on nanocellulose with a special focus on oxygen barrier properties.

2. Types of nanocellulose

Nanocellulose as shown in Fig. 1, can be categorised into three types: (i) nanocrystalline cellulose (NCC), also known as cellulose nanocrystal (CNC) and cellulose nanowhiskers (CNW), (ii) nanofibrillated cellulose (NFC), also referred to as cellulose nanofibrils (CNF), and (iii) bacterial nanocellulose (BNC), also referred to as bacterial cellulose (BC) [36,37]. The types of nanocellulose are then merely dependent on the methods of isolation. These extraction methods can be divided into three types; (i) chemical methods (alkaline/acid hydrolysis, TEMPO mediated-oxidation), (ii) mechanical treatment (homogenisation, crushing, and machining) and (iii) combination of chemical and mechanical methods (electrospinning, hydrolysis). Whereas, BNC is derived explicitly via enzymatic or acid hydrolysis [38,39]. All nanocelullose divisions with their methods of isolation and properties are listed in Table 1.

3. Barrier properties of polymer

Permeability is the permeance multiplied by the thickness as shown in Table 2. Barrier properties are not only determined by the nature of the material but are also a function of temperature, pressure, and relative humidity. Barrier properties are usually measured under equilibrium moisture conditions with a controlled environment. According to Wang et al. [45], classification of commonly utilised polymeric packaging materials can be categorised into five categories, as shown in Table 3, which intentionally classifies polystyrene (PS), polyethylene (PE), and polypropylene (PP), into the poor oxygen barrier category.

4. Oxygen barrier properties of nanocellulose reinforced biopolymer

Recent progress has shown that nanocelluloses are able to serve as oxygen barrier materials (Table 4). Their unique characteristics have enabled them to form a dense microstructure, presenting high potential as a gas barrier. Coating a thin layer of TEMPO (2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine-1-oxyl)-oxidised nanocellulose on films of PLA has resulted in the nanocomposite films with ultrahigh transparency and outstanding oxygen barrier properties [53]. Besides that, dispersing nanocellulose in biopolymers has been shown to improve the oxygen permeability resistance [54–56].

The nanocellulose functions as a physical barrier structure, based on the "tortuous theory," in order to prevent the movement of oxygen gas molecules through the barrier. According to Fukuzumi et al. [57], the oxygen molecules demonstrate kinetic widths of the same order of magnitude as the pores are partially separated in the nanocellulose network, in which they restrict oxygen from being transported through the films. This tortuous pathway varies according to the molecular diffusion and permeation features, free volume shape, quantity, and size. However, there is a possibility of existing free volume, in which this free volume is produced by the surface incompatibility between two components or is restricted

Materials Today: Proceedings 52 (2022) 2414-2419



Fig. 1. Extraction of nanocellulose from cellulose fibre [14,31,39-42].

Table 1

Family of nanocellulose materials [38,43,44].

Type of nanocellulose	Synonyms	Typical sources	Extraction process	Formation	Average size	Properties
Nano/microfibrillated cellulose (NFC)	Microfibrillated cellulose, nanofibrils, microfibrils, nanofibrillated cellulose	Wood, high plants (sugar beet, potato) tuber, hemp, flax, sugar palm fibre	Mechanical processes	It can be extracted from cellulose chains using mechanical process to cleavage the fibre into nanometer size in diameter	D = 5-60 nm L = several mm Network structured nano- scaled fibres	Low crystallinity, longer length, high aspect ratio
Nanocrystalline cellulose (NCC)	Cellulose nanocrystals, crystallites, whiskers, rodlike cellulose, microcrystals	Sugar palm fibre, bamboo, kenaf, cotton, tunicin, wood, hemp, flax, wheat straw, ramie, Avicel, cellulose from algae and bacteria	Acid hydrolysis	It can be extracted from cellulose chains using acid hydrolysed amorphous region and left only crystalline region.	D = 4- 70nm L = 90-450 nm Discrete rod-shaped particles	High crystallinity, short length and low aspect ratio
Bacterial nanocellulose (BC)	Bacterial cellulose, microbial cellulose, bio-cellulose	Low molecular weight sugars and alcohols, gram-negative bacteria	Bacterial synthesis	Different types of nanofiber networks	D = 20 – 100 nm	High aspect ratio

Table 2

Barrier parameters, equations, and units.

Barrier Property	Equation	Unit
Water Vapour Transmission Rate (WVTR) Water Vapor Permeability (WVP)	WVTR= weightpassesthrough area.time WVP= <u>WVTR.thickness</u> wvtp=twiterademessure AVEPH	g/m².day g.µm/m².day.kPa
Oxygen Transmission Rate (OTR) Oxygen Permeability (OP)	$OTR = \frac{volume passed through}{area time}$ $OP = \frac{OTR + thickness}{oxygen partial pressure difference}$	cm ^{3/} m².day cm ³ .µm/m².day.atm

to the structures. Therefore, developing new high-gas barrier materials is still a challenge.

In addition to protecting the product against migration of the components and transmitted moisture, gas, and other dangerous agents from surroundings, an ideal barrier packaging should be thermally stable and mechanically robust [58,59]. The appropriate mixture of materials is crucial to improvise the barrier properties of the packaging. The material's barrier properties as a function of the morphology of each component are displayed in Fig. 2 [60]. Lange et al. [60] summarised that the continuous layers arrange with multilayer structure (Fig. 2A) is more effective compared to the lamellar blend or fibrillar morphology (Fig. 2B); the

latter is better than the particulate system (Fig. 2C). It may be understood that mixtures include gaps between barrier particles to produce gas passageways to penetrate them, although the increased tortuosity reduces the penetration of gas. It can be concluded that although the increased tortuosity within the blends composite slows gas permeation, however, the formation of the gaps between the barrier particles would form passages for gases to penetrate through them. Therefore, this is the reason why many food barrier packaging industries utilise multilayer films with thin layers of continuous phase barrier materials. Besides that, literature data reviewed also revealed that the continuous nanocellulose phase in the neat and coated films performs better compared to the

Table 3

Barrier classifications of films based on oxygen and water vapour permeability^a.

Grade	Oxygen Permeability (cm ³ .µm/m ² .day.atm)	Example ^b	WVP (g.µm/m ² .day.kPa)	Example ^b
Poor	>40000	HDPE 43307, PP 59055, PS 170866, PHB 302555 ^c , LDPE 790000 ^d	>3000	Silicone Elastomer 3502
Low	4000-40000	PVC 4252, BOPP 113204, PHA 150003, PLA 305,005	1000-3000	PA 6 1253, PLA 11,602
Medium	400-4000	EVOH wet 787, ,OPET 1181, PA 6 wet 1972, PET3543	40-1000	PS660, PHA 824 ^g PLA 898 ^f
High	40-400	PVdC 98, PA 6 dry 449	40-400	PAN 251, PVC 132, PET 79, LDPE 164 ^h
Very high	<40	EVOH dry 3.93	<40	HDPE 23, PP20, PVdC 17, OPET 4 ⁱ , BOPP 7 ^e

^aThe number by the name is the permeability coefficient of that material at 23–25 °C for OP without specified RH%; 37.8 °C and 90%RH for WVP. BOPP: biaxially oriented polypropylene; EVOH: ethylene vinyl alcohol; HDPE: high-density polyethylene; LDPE: low-density polyethylene; OPET: oriented polyethylene terephthalate; PA 6: poly-amide 6; PET: polyethylene terephthalate; PHA: polyhydroxyalkanoates; PHB: polyhydroxybutyrate; PLA: polylactic acid; PP: polypropylene; PVC: polyvinyl chloride; PVdC: polyvinylidene chloride; PS: polystyrene; PAN: polyacrylotrile. ^bRef [45]. ^cRef [46]. ^dRef [47]. ^eRef [48]. ^fRef [49]. ^gRef [51] at 25 °C, 84/22%. ⁱRef [52].

Table 4

Oxygen permeability of nanocellulose reinforced biopolymer nanocomposites.

Formulation		Oxygen permeability	Conditions	Ref.
100% Bacteria CNCs/80% BCNCs + 20% PEG 900	6.1/3.6	24 °C, 0%	[61]	
		52264/62769	24 °C, 80%	
50% CMFs + 50% PVOH/%25 CMFs + 25% PVOH + 50% clay		0.5/0.5	23 °C, 0%	[62]
		6790/190	23 °C, 0%	
70% CMFs + 30% Sorbitol		5200	23 °C, 80%	[63]
75%PLA + 25%PHB/71% PLA + 24% PHB + 5% CNCs		13300/15300	RT, 0%	[64]
Bio-HDPE/CNF/bio-LDPE film		0.6	23 °C, 0%	[65]
		8	23 °C, 50%	
		490	23 °C, 80%	
Birch Xylan: Carboxymethylated CNFs: Plasticiser	70/30/0	242,219,981,208,338	23 °C, 50%	[66]
(G: Glycerol, M: MPEG, S: sorbitol)	60/40/0		23 °C, 50%	
	50/50/0		23 °C, 50%	
	G: 35/35/30 M: 35/35/30		23 °C, 50%	
	S: 35/35/30		23 °C, 50%	
			23 °C, 50%	
PLA/PLA + 15%CNCs		25388/37644	23 °C, 0%	[67]
PLA-PBAT blend (modified) + nanocellulose		330	23 °C, 55%	[68]
Poly(3-hydroxybutyrate-co-3-hydroxyvalerate), PHBV		218.4	23 °C, 0%	[69]
Poly(vinyl alcohol-co-ethylene), EVOH		65.1	23 °C, 65%	[70]
Polycaprolactone (PCL)/PCL + 5%CNCs		8750/7350	23 °C, 0%	[71]
Polylactic acid (PLA)/PLA + 5% CNCs		30,500/17400	25 °C, 0%	[49]
Polylactic acid, PLA		541	23 °C, 55%	[68]
PVOH/CMFs: PVOH, 1:100		45000/50000	23 °C, 90%	[72]

*Oxygen permeability in $\text{cm}^{3} \cdot \mu m/\text{m}^{2} \cdot \text{day-atm}$, PEG: polyethylene glycol, PHB: polyhydroxybutyrate, PHOV: poly(vinyl alcohol), C: nanocellulose; CNFs: cellulose nanofibers; LDPE: low-density polyethylene; PBAT: polybutylene adipate terephthalate. Slash symbol "/" separates two formulations: the base material and nanocellulose composites. In the columns of oxygen permeability, the number before "/" is the oxygen permeability of the base material, and the number after "/" is the oxygen permeability of the nanocellulose composite.



Fig. 2. Permeability of a blend of a high-barrier material as indicated in black in a low-barrier matrix as a function of the morphology [60].



Fig. 3. Oxygen permeability $Q_{100 02}$ versus water vapour permeability $Q_{100 H20}$ for biopolymers with PHBV (O), PHBHB (O), PBAT-based materials (A) compared with conventional polymers (O): $Q_{100 02}$ [cm³ (STP) 100 µm·m⁻²·d⁻¹·bar⁻¹]/ $Q_{100 H20}$ [g-100 µm·m⁻²·d⁻¹·mbar⁻¹] of PE-LD (1.400/0.04), PE-HD (500/0.01), PET-BO (13/0.09), PP (850/0.02). Reproduced with copyright permission from Jost et al [73].

dispersed nanocellulose phase in nanocomposites with respect to gas barriers.

Besides that, based on Fig. 3, it can be observed that the reinforcement of the filler within the blended biopolymer improved the oxygen and water vapour permeability, and the result was much better compared to the commercialised petroleum-based polymer. The oxygen permeability coefficient of the PLA/PBAT/-nanocellulose was expected to be as low as 100 cm³ (STP)100 um.m⁻²d⁻¹.bar⁻¹, combining with the high strength (30 MPa), Young's modulus (2 GPa), and elongation (400%), as indicated in Fig. 3, respectively. The suggested mechanism was the increased tortuosity resulting from the high crystallinity of nanocellulose.

5. Conclusion

The interest in nanocellulose reinforced biopolymer-based nanocomposite in packaging applications has accelerated significantly despite it is still at the infancy stage. A number of interesting solutions have been discussed or are being implemented at various stages such as types of nanocellulose and biopolymer used, and using nanocellulose as (I) laminar, (II) lamellar blend, or (III) in a particular system. The reinforcement of the nanocellulose with biopolymer-based packaging films strongly influence the oxygen barrier properties. However, an important necessity for further research is still needed due to inadequate resistance to moisture and water vapour. In addition, it is evident that there are many different combinations of contrasts between nanocrystal cellulose products, nanofibrillated cellulose products, and bacterial nanocellulose material. Such challenges and options implied that there will be a strong need for continuing research in the coming years in the attempt to achieve the full potential of nanocellulose in a wide range of packaging applications.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like express gratitude for the financial support received from Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, project CRG 30.3: Retardant coating using graphene/bamboo aerogel mixtures on SAR robotics system, grant number PY/2020/03495–R.J130000.73 51.4B534". The research has been carried out under program Research Excellent Consortium (JPT)(BKI)1000/016/018/25(57) provided by Ministry of Higher Education Malaysia (MOHE).

References

- [1] A. Rozilah, C.N.A. Jaafar, S.M. Sapuan, I. Zainol, R.A. Ilyas, Polymers (Basel). 12 (2020) 2605.
- [2] R. Jumaidin, M.A.A. Khiruddin, Z., Asyul, Sutan, Saidi, M.S., Salit, R.A., Ilyas, Int. J. Biol. Macromol. 146 (2020).
- [3] R.A. Ilyas, S.M. Sapuan, M.M. Harussani, M.Y.A.Y. Hakimi, M.Z.M. Haziq, M.S.N. Atikah, M.R.M. Asyraf, M.R. Ishak, M.R. Razman, N.M. Nurazzi, M.N.F. Norrrahim, H. Abral, M. Asrofi, Polymers (Basel). 13 (2021).
- [4] M.R.M. Asyraf, M.R. Ishak, S.M. Sapuan, N. Yidris, R.A. Ilyas, J. Mater. Res. Technol. 9 (2020) 6759–6776.
- [5] K. Helanto, L. Matikainen, R. Talj, O.J. Rojas, BioResources. 14 (2019) 4902– 4951.
- [6] N.H. Sari, C.I. Pruncu, S.M. Sapuan, R.A. Ilyas, A.D. Catur, S. Suteja, Y.A. Sutaryono, G. Pullen, Polym. Test. 91 (2020) 106751.
- [7] R.S. Ayu, A. Khalina, A.S. Harmaen, K. Zaman, T. Isma, Q. Liu, R.A. Ilyas, C.H. Lee, Polymers (Basel). 12 (2020) 1571.
- [8] M. Ramesh, C. Deepa, L.R. Kumar, M. Sanjay, S. Siengchin, J. Ind. Text. (2020) 1– 25.
- [9] N.-I.S. Abdul-Latif, M.Y. Ong, S. Nomanbhay, B. Salman, P.L. Show, Bioengineered. 11 (2020) 154–164.
- [10] J. Yu, L.X.L. Chen, Environ. Sci. Technol. 42 (2008) 6961–6966.
- [11] N. Kumari, S.P. Bangar, M. Petrů, R.A. Ilyas, A. Singh, P. Kumar, Foods. 10 (2021) 1976.
- [12] M.A. Hubbe, A. Ferrer, P. Tyagi, Y. Yin, C. Salas, L. Pal, O.J. Rojas, BioResources. 12 (2017) 2143–2233.
- [13] M. Ozdemir, J.D. Floros, Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr. 44 (2004) 185-193.
- [14] H. Abral, A.B. Pratama, D. Handayani, M. Mahardika, İ. Aminah, N. Sandrawati, E. Sugiarti, A.N. Muslimin, S.M. Sapuan, R.A. Ilyas, Int. J. Polym. Sci. 2021 (2021) 1–11.
- [15] A. Vinod, M.R. Sanjay, S. Suchart, P. Jyotishkumar, J. Clean. Prod. 258 (2020) 120978.
- [16] R. Jumaidin, N.A. Diah, R.A. Ilyas, R.H. Alamjuri, F.A.M. Yusof, Polymers (Basel). 13 (2021) 1420.
- [17] R. Syafiq, S.M. Sapuan, M.Y.M. Zuhri, R.A. Ilyas, A. Nazrin, S.F.K. Sherwani, A. Khalina, Polymers (Basel). 12 (2020) 2403.
- [18] A. Nazrin, S.M. Sapuan, M.Y.M. Zuhri, I.S.M.A. Tawakkal, R.A. Ilyas, Nanotechnol. Rev. 10 (2021) 431–442.
- [19] R.A. Ilyas, S.M. Sapuan, M.R. Ishak, E.S. Zainudin, Carbohydr. Polym. 202 (2018) 186–202.
- [20] P. Archana, T. Sudha, C. Engineering, T. Nadu, T. Nadu, T. Nadu, T. Nadu, Automatic Fire Extinguisher 1 (25) (2021) 245–250.
- [21] Z.N. Diyana, R. Jumaidin, M.Z. Selamat, I. Ghazali, N. Julmohammad, N. Huda, R. A. Ilyas, Polymers (Basel). 13 (2021) 1–20.
- [22] S. Qiu, Y. Zhou, G.I.N. Waterhouse, R. Gong, J. Xie, K. Zhang, J. Xu, Food Chem. 334 (2021) 127487.
- [23] M.L. Sanyang, R.A. Ilyas, S.M. Sapuan, R. Jumaidin, in: Bionanocomposites Packag. Appl, 1st ed.,, Springer International Publishing, Cham, Switzerland, 2018, pp. 125–147.
- [24] A. Nazrin, S.M. Sapuan, M.Y.M. Zuhri, R.A. Ilyas, R. Syafiq, S.F.K. Sherwani, Front. Chem. 8 (2020) 1–12.
- [25] N.M. Nurazzi, M.R.M. Asyraf, A. Khalina, N. Abdullah, H.A. Aisyah, S.A. Rafiqah, F.A. Sabaruddin, S.H. Kamarudin, M.N.F. Norrrahim, R.A. Ilyas, S.M. Sapuan, Polymers (Basel). 13 (2021) 646.
- [26] M.R. Sanjay, S. Siengchin, Express Polym. Lett. 15 (2021).
- 27] S.M. Rangappa, S. Siengchin, H.N. Dhakal, Appl. Sci. Eng. Prog. 13 (2020).
- [28] N.M. Nurazzi, F.A. Sabaruddin, M.M. Harussani, S.H. Kamarudin, M. Rayung, M. R.M. Asyraf, H.A. Aisyah, M.N.F. Norrrahim, R.A. Ilyas, N. Abdullah, E.S. Zainudin, S.M. Sapuan, A. Khalina, Nanomaterials. 11 (2021) 2186.
- [29] N.M. Nurazzi, M.R.M. Asyraf, M. Rayung, M.N.F. Norrrahim, S.S. Shazleen, M.S. A. Rani, A.R. Shafi, H.A. Aisyah, M.H.M. Radzi, F.A. Sabaruddin, R.A. Ilyas, E.S. Zainudin, K. Abdan, Polymers (Basel). 13 (2021) 2710.
- [30] A.A.B. Omran, A.A.B.A. Mohammed, S.M. Sapuan, R.A. Ilyas, M.R.M. Asyraf, S.S. R. Koloor, M. Petrů, Polymers (Basel). 13 (2021) 231.
- [31] R.A. Ilyas, S.M. Sapuan, M.S.N. Atikah, M.R.M. Asyraf, S.A. Rafiqah, H.A. Aisyah, N.M. Nurazzi, M.N.F. Norrrahim, Text. Res. J. 91 (2021) 152–167.
- [32] F.A. Sabaruddin, M.T. Paridah, S.M. Sapuan, R.A. Ilyas, S.H. Lee, K. Abdan, N. Mazlan, A.S.M. Roseley, H.P.S. Abdul Khalil, Polymers (Basel) 13 (2020) 116.
- [33] R.A. Ilyas, S.M. Sapuan, R. Ibrahim, H. Abral, M.R. Ishak, E.S. Zainudin, M. Asrofi, M.S.N. Atikah, M.R.M. Huzaifah, A.M. Radzi, A.M.N. Azammi, M.A. Shaharuzaman, N.M. Nurazzi, E. Syafri, N.H. Sari, M.N.F. Norrrahim, R. Jumaidin, J. Mater. Res. Technol. 8 (2019) 2753–2766.

- [34] M.N.F. Norrrahim, N.A. Mohd Kasim, V.F. Knight, N. Abdul Halim, N.A. Ahmad Shah, S.A. Mohd Noor, S.H. Jamal, K.K. Ong, W.M.Z. Wan Yunus, M.A. Ahmad, Farid, M.A. Jenol, I., R.A., Funct, Compos. Struct. 149 (2021) 543–547.
- [35] R.A. Ilyas, S.M. Sapuan, A. Atiqah, R. Ibrahim, H. Abral, M.R. Ishak, E.S. Zainudin, N.M. Nurazzi, M.S.N. Atikah, M.N.M. Ansari, M.R.M. Asyraf, A.B.M. Supian, H. Ya, Polym. Compos. (2019) 1–9.
- [36] H. Abral, J. Ariksa, M. Mahardika, D. Handayani, I. Aminah, N. Sandrawati, A.B. Pratama, N. Fajri, S.M. Sapuan, R.A. Ilyas, Food Hydrocoll. 98 (2020) 105266.
- [37] R.A. Ilyas, S.M. Sapuan, M.L. Sanyang, M.R. Ishak, E.S. Zainudin, Curr. Anal. Chem. 14 (2018) 203–225.
- [38] C. Zinge, B. Kandasubramanian, Eur. Polym. J. 133 (2020) 109758.
- [39] H. Abral, M.K. Chairani, M.D. Rizki, M. Mahardika, D. Handayani, E. Sugiarti, A. N. Muslimin, S.M. Sapuan, R.A. Ilyas, J. Mater. Res. Technol. 11 (2021) 896–904.
- [40] R.A. Ilyas, S.M. Sapuan, M.R. Ishak, Polym. 181 (2018) 1038-1051.
- [41] R.A. Ilyas, S.M. Sapuan, R. Ibrahim, H. Abral, M.R. Ishak, E.S. Zainudin, M. Asrofi, M.S.N. Atikah, M.R.M. Huzaifah, A.M. Radzi, A.M.N. Azammi, M.A. Shaharuzaman, N.M. Nurazzi, E. Syafri, N.H. Sari, M.N.F. Norrrahim, R. Jumaidin, J. Mater. Res. Technol. 8 (2019).
- [42] R.A. Ilyas, S.M. Sapuan, M.R. Ishak, E.S. Zainudin, Int. J. Biol. Macromol. 123 (2019) 379–388.
- [43] A. Sharma, M. Thakur, M. Bhattacharya, T. Mandal, S. Goswami, Biotechnol. Reports. 21 (2019) e00316.
- [44] D. Lasrado, S. Ahankari, K. Kar, J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 137 (2020) 1–14.
- [45] J. Wang, D.J. Gardner, N.M. Stark, M. Tajvidi, Z. Cai, A.C.S. Sustain, Chem. Eng. 6 (2018) 49-70.
- [46] P. Dhar, U. Bhardwaj, A. Kumar, V. Katiyar, Polym. Eng. Sci. 55 (2015) 2388– 2395.
- [47] N.M.L. Hansen, D. Plackett, Biomacromolecules. 9 (2008) 1493–1505.
- [48] M. Rezaei, M. Mohseni, H. Yahyaei, Prog. Org. Coatings. 99 (2016) 72-79.
- [49] E. Fortunati, M. Peltzer, I. Armentano, L. Torre, A. Jiménez, J.M. Kenny, Carbohydr. Polym. 90 (2012) 948–956.
- [50] E. Bugnicourt, P. Cinelli, A. Lazzeri, V. Alvarez, Express Polym. Lett. 8 (2014) 791–808.
- [51] C. Péroval, F. Debeaufort, D. Despré, A. Voilley, J. Agric. Food Chem. 50 (2002) 3977–3983.
- [52] ASTM F1249: Standard Test Method for Water Vapor Transmission Rate Through Plastic Film and Sheeting Using a Modulated Infrared Sensor; ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, 2013., 2013.

- [53] H. Fukuzumi, T. Saito, T. Iwata, Y. Kumamoto, A. Isogai, Biomacromolecules. 10 (2009) 162–165.
- [54] L. Du, H. Yu, B. Zhang, R. Tang, Y. Zhang, C. Qi, M.P. Wolcott, Z. Yu, J. Wang, Carbohydr. Polym. 268 (2021) 118206.
- [55] H. Fang, X. Chen, S. Wang, S. Cheng, Y. Ding, Cellulose. 26 (2019) 9751–9764.
 [56] B.N. Jung, H.W. Jung, D.H. Kang, G.H. Kim, M. Lee, J.K. Shim, S.W. Hwang, J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 137 (2020) 49536.
- [57] H. Fukuzumi, T. Saito, S. Iwamoto, Y. Kumamoto, T. Ohdaira, R. Suzuki, A. Isogai, Biomacromolecules. 12 (2011) 4057–4062.
- [58] P. Jagadeesh, Y.G. Thyavihalli Girijappa, M. Puttegowda, S.M. Rangappa, S. Siengchin, J. Nat. Fibers. (2020) 1–16.
- [59] S. M.R., S. Siengchin, J. Parameswaranpillai, M. Jawaid, C.I. Pruncu, A. Khan, Carbohydr. Polym. 207 (2019) 108–121.
- [60] J. Lange, Y. Wyser, Packag. Technol. Sci. 16 (2003) 149-158.
- [61] M. Martínez-Sanz, A. Lopez-Rubio, J.M. Lagaron, Carbohydr. Polym. 98 (2013) 1072–1082.
- [62] S. Spoljaric, A. Salminen, N. Dang Luong, P. Lahtinen, J. Vartiainen, T. Tammelin, J. Seppälä, Polym. Compos. 35 (2014) 1117–1131.
- [63] J. Vartiainen, P. Lahtinen, T. Kaljunen, V. Kunnari, M.S. Peresin, T. Tammelin, Softwood and Hardwood Pulps O Pap. 76 (2015) 57–60.
- [64] M.P. Arrieta, E. Fortunati, F. Dominici, E. Rayón, J. López, J.M. Kenny, Polym. Degrad. Stab. 107 (2014) 139–149.
- [65] J. Vartiainen, Y. Shen, T. Kaljunen, T. Malm, M. Vähä-Nissi, M. Putkonen, A. Harlin, J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 133 (2016).
- [66] N.M.L. Hansen, T.O.J. Blomfeldt, M.S. Hedenqvist, D.V. Plackett, Cellulose. 19 (2012) 2015–2031.
- [67] E. Espino-Pérez, J. Bras, V. Ducruet, A. Guinault, A. Dufresne, S. Domenek, Eur. Polym. J. 49 (2013) 3144–3154.
- [68] C.R. Sonar, S. Al-Ghamdi, F. Marti, J. Tang, S.S. Sablani, Innov. Food Sci. Emerg. Technol. 66 (2020) 102485.
- [69] M.N.F. Norrrahim, H. Ariffin, M.A. Hassan, N.A. Ibrahim, H. Nishida, RSC Adv. 3 (2013) 24378–24388.
- [70] L. Miao, W.C. Walton, L. Wang, L. Li, Y. Wang, Food Packag. Shelf Life. 22 (2019) 100388.
- [71] R.A. Khan, S. Beck, D. Dussault, S. Salmieri, J. Bouchard, M. Lacroix, J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 129 (2013) 3038–3046.
- [72] S. Virtanen, J. Vartianen, H. Setälä, T. Tammelin, S. Vuoti, RSC Adv. 4 (2014) 11343.
- [73] V. Jost, Express Polym. Lett. 12 (2018) 429-435.